For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



# North Dorset Local Plan Part 1 Pre-submission Consultation 29 November 2013 to 24 January 2014

Regulation 19 of Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012)

## Response Form

For each representation you wish to make a separate response form will need to be completed.

This is a formal consultation on the legal compliance and soundness of the Local Plan before it is submitted to the Secretary of State for examination by an Inspector. For advice on how to respond to the consultation and fill in this form please see the 'Guidance Notes for Making Representations' that can be found on the Council's website at <a href="https://www.dorsetforyou.com/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy">www.dorsetforyou.com/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy</a>

#### Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy, North Dorset District Council, Nordon, Salisbury Road, Blandford Forum, Dorset DT11 7LL

Alternatively you can submit your comments online at: www.surveymonkey.com/s/NorthDorsetLocalPlan

Deadline: 5pm on 24 January 2014. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

### Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments** cannot be accepted. Representations cannot be treated in confidence as Regulation 22 of the Town and County Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires copies of all representations to be made publically available. By submitting this response form on the pre-submission North Dorset Local Plan Part 1 you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose, but signatures, private telephone numbers and e-mail addresses or private addresses will not be visible on our web site, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be sent to the Inspector and available for inspection.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes to the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Personal Details (if applicable)*		Agent's Details (if applicable)*	
Title	Messrs	Mrs	
First Name		Sarah	
Last Name	Drake	Hamilton-Foyn	
Job Title(where relevant)		Director	
Organisation (where relevant)		Pegasus Group	
Address		Pegasus House, Querns Business Centre, Whitworth Road, Cirencester, Gloucestershire,	
Postcode		GL7 1RT	
Tel. No.		01285 641717	
Email Address		Sarah.hamilton-foyn@pegasuspg.co.uk	



## Part B - Representation

The North Dorset Local Plan 2011 to 2026 Part 1 and its supporting documents have been published in order for representations to be made prior to submission to the Secretary of State for examination. The purpose of the examination is to consider whether the Local Plan complies with the **legal requirements** and is 'sound'.

If you are seeking to make a representation on the way in which documents have been prepared it is likely that your comments or objections will relate to a matter of legal compliance.

If you are seeking to make representations on the **content** of the documents it is likely that your comments or objections relate to the **soundness** of the plans and whether it is justified, effective or consistent with national policy.

Further information on the matter of legal compliance and the issue of soundness can be found in the 'Guidance Notes for Making Representations'.

If you need help completing the response form please see a member of the Planning Policy Team at one of the consultation exhibitions or call 01258 484201.

of the cons	ultation exhibitions or	call 01258 484201.	
1. Please se	elect which document	you are commenting on:	
Fina	I Sustainability Appra	011 to 2026 Part 1 (please comple isal Report (please complete Quastion)	uestions 2 and 10)
		ocument you are commenting on:	
Paragraph Num Para 3.39 Spa		Policy/site:	Policies map:
	onsider the Local Plan te, legal and procedur		ared in accordance with the Duty to
	onsider the Local Plan	to be 'sound'?  ☐ No √	
5. If you co apply be		o be unsound please specify your	reason(s) by ticking the box(es) that
٧	It has not been pos	itively prepared	
<b>V</b>	It is not justified		
٧	It is not effective		
٧	It is not consistent v	vith national policy	



6. Please give specific details of why you consider the Local Plan has not been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate, legal or procedural requirement or why you consider the plan to be unsound. Alternatively, if you wish to support any aspects of the plan please also use this box to set out your comments.

An objection is made to the strategy which strictly controls development outside the four main towns

Whist it is acknowledged that in order to achieve sustainable development the majority of housing growth will take place in the more sustainable settlements, the plan also needs to ensure that the provision is made or that the plan facilitates development in those larger villages with a level of facilities and services i.e. as in the adopted Local Plan. These villages in a largely rural district have a distinct role in terms of meeting local needs. Therefore, the Local Plan needs to provide the framework for this development to take place. The strategy whilst meeting the majority of the strategic needs for growth at the four main towns (which is consistent with the NPPF), should also provide the framework for the development of sites in the larger villages which have a range of facilities and services i.e. those sustainable settlements in addition to the four main towns. Paragraph 55 of the NPPF states that local planning authorities should promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities.

The key issue for the rural areas is that the Local Plan should facilitate development in sustainable settlements by providing the policy framework; it is considered that this can be included in the Local Plan and still provide the flexibility for those Parishes which then want to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan.

Child Okeford was classified as a larger village with a settlement boundary as it has a cohesive built up nature and a reasonable range of community facilities and services to support further growth. (Doctors Surgery (3 doctors), Village Hall, Community Centre, Centre for Care and Learning St Nicholas CE VA Primary School, The Ark Nursery, Post Office, Tea Rooms & Shop, Gold Hill Organic Farm Shop, Cross Store (general convenience), 2 pubs (The Bakers Arm & The Saxon Inn), and a recreation ground).

Our clients site i.e. Land off Haywards Lane (ref 2/11/0502 in the SHLAA) is identified in the SHLAA as a suitable site for development of approximately 25 dwellings. The site is well contained by existing mature vegetation and manmade features (housing and a road) such that it lends itself as a development site well related to the physical form of the village without encroaching out into open countryside. Its close proximity to the school is also an added benefit. Moreover its size is of a scale is in proportion to the size of the village which would not result in it generating disproportionate amount of residential development. The site can be accessed off Haywards Lane.



Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

7. What change(s) do you consider are necessary to ensure that the Local Plan is legally compliant and sound? It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Our clients consider that the "wash over" all settlements outside the four main towns with a policy that would not permit infilling until Neighbourhood Plans are produced is unnecessarily restrictive and inconsistent with the NPPF para 55).

It would appear that a more flexible approach would only arise if a Neighbourhood Plan was prepared; this seems unlikely if only 52% of parishes expressed an interest in preparing a Neighbourhood Plan. Neighbourhood Plans are to be aligned with the strategic needs and priorities of the wider local area. Neighbourhood Plans must be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the Local Plan.

Our clients consider that whilst not necessarily including an overall housing provision figure for Stalbridge and the larger villages, a policy framework which is flexible should be included in the Local Plan, this can then be taken forward through Neighbourhood Plans or provide the guidance necessary to determine planning applications. There is risk that if the Council relies on Neighbourhood Plans to deliver growth that this will not happen and instead will result in planning by appeal. Furthermore our clients would not support an approach which priorities infilling as a means of meeting local needs as this would be inconsistent with the NPPF.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

	examination?
☐ No, I do	not wish to participate in the oral examination
√ Yes,	I would like to participate in the oral examination
	o participate in the oral part of the examination please outline why you consider that

The issues raised in our objections relate to the soundness of the plan in respect of the plan period and the housing requirement and the strategy for the villages.

10.	Assessm	Please outline your comments on the Final Sustainability Appraisal Report or Habitats Regulations Assessment. Comments are not confined to 'soundness' issues, but respondents can express their opinions on the above documents and use it as a reference point on the 'soundness' of the Local Plan.			
11.	Do you v	vish to be notified of any of the following? Please tick all that apply. We will contact you details you have given above.			
	٧	That the Local Plan Part 1 has been submitted for independent examination			
	٧	The publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan Part 1			
	$\checkmark$	The adoption of the Local Plan Part 1.			
Sig	nature:	Date: 23 <sup>rd</sup> January 2014			
If s	ubmitting	the form electronically, no signature is required.			