

<b>For office use only</b>	
Batch number: _____	Received: _____
Representor ID # _____	Ack: _____
Representation # _____	

## PIMPERNE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

### Regulation 16 Consultation 11 May to 22 June 2018

## Response Form

The proposed Pimperne Neighbourhood Plan has been submitted to North Dorset District Council for examination. The neighbourhood plan and all supporting documentation can be viewed on the District Council's website via: [www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy](http://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy)

#### Please return completed forms to:

Email: [planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk)

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, Dorset, DT1 1UZ

**Deadline: 4pm on Friday 22 June 2018. Representations received after this date will not be accepted.**

### Part A – Personal Details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted**. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose, personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be sent to the independent examiner and available for inspection. Your information will be retained by the Council in line with its retention schedule and privacy policy (<https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/privacypolicy>). Your data will be destroyed when the plan becomes redundant.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes to the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details (if applicable)*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr	
First Name	Richard	
Last Name	Dodson	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)	Dorset County Council	
Address	Environment & Economy County Hall Dorchester	
Postcode	DT1 1XJ	
Tel. No.	██████████	
Email Address	████████████████████	

## Part B – Representation

**1. To which document does the comment relate?** *Please tick one box only.*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Submission Plan
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consultation Statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Basic Conditions Statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other <i>Please specify:</i>

**2. To which part of the document does the comment relate?** *Please identify the text that you are commenting on, where appropriate.*

	<i>Location of Text</i>
Whole document	
Section	
Policy	LGS Local Green Spaces
Page	
Appendix	

**3. Do you wish to?** *Please tick one box only.*

<input type="checkbox"/>	Support
<input type="checkbox"/>	Object
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Make an observation

**4. Please use the box below to give reasons for your support/objection or make your observation.**

LGS gives land the same 'status' as Green Belt NPPF 78. –

The plan needs to make sure that the LGS designation meets the basic #77 test

77. The Local Green Space designation will not be appropriate for most green areas or open space. The designation should only be used:

- where the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves
- where the green area is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife
- where the green area concerned is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land

The plan group may have overlooked the unforeseen consequence of a designation for LGS and may wish to consider allowing in the policy for development which enhances or is ancillary to the purpose for which the land is used in the first place.

I suggest this because of the Green Belt status LGS imparts. There have been Green Belt appeals, albeit not in Dorset, where even very small structures have been deemed to be inappropriate GB development - one related to lighting columns at a pub, another to allotment sheds, another to sports facilities.

Going through the exceptional circumstance 'hoop' for development which would enhance or is ancillary to the space, when it could be avoided though careful policy wording, seems a sensible approach.

*Continue overleaf if necessary*

**5. Please give details of any suggested modifications in the box below.**

The LGS policy really needs the caveat to allow development which is ancillary to, or enhances the purpose for which the LGS is allocated. Even if it wasn't an issue this would avoid any doubt

*Continue overleaf if necessary*

**6. Do you wish to be notified of the District Council's decision to make or refuse to make the neighbourhood plan? Please tick one box only.**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	No

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.*

*Please use this box to continue your responses to Questions 4 & 5 if necessary*