

**Appropriate Assessment  
of  
The Bournemouth, Dorset & Poole  
Mineral Sites Plan  
Pre-Submission Draft**

**Presented as an Addendum to the Original  
Assessment (November 2017) under the  
Conservation of Habitats and Species  
Regulations, 2017**

**Prepared in response to recent case law:  
People Over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte  
Teoranta**

***Revised December 2018 to incorporate  
assessment of AS27, Land at Horton Heath***

**Prepared for the  
Mineral Planning Authority in Dorset  
By Dr Annabel King, Senior Ecologist,  
Dorset County Council**

**August 2018**

## Introduction

In light of a recent Court of Justice of the European Union (ECJ) ruling: *People Over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta*, the Planning Inspectorate issued the following note in mid-May to the Mineral and Waste Planning Authority representing Dorset County Council, Poole Borough Council and Bournemouth Borough Council:

'On 12 April 2018, the Court of Justice of the European Union issued a judgment (C-323/17) which ruled that Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive must be interpreted as meaning that mitigation measures (referred to in the judgment as measures which are intended to avoid or reduce effects) should be assessed within the framework of an appropriate assessment (AA) and that it is not permissible to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of the plan or project on a European site at the screening stage.

The Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) reports on the Waste Plan and Minerals Sites Plan include information that identifies likely significant effects on European sites and their designated features but conclude that they can be mitigated through avoidance or reduction measures, and does not go on to the AA stage.

I am writing to ask that the Councils confirm the extent to which they consider their HRA reports are legally compliant in light of the judgement and in doing so re-visit the screening assessments. If the revised screening assessments conclude that AA(s) is/are required these should be carried out.' Nick Palmer, via email, 16/5/18

The Mineral Planning Authority has considered this new information and concluded that the Screening Assessment under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017, (hereafter referred to as the Screening HRA) submitted alongside the Mineral Sites Plan Pre-Submission Draft (hereafter referred to as the Mineral Plan) was consistent with accepted UK practice at the time of submission but, in the light of the above judgement, it is necessary to re-visit the screening assessment and conduct an Appropriate Assessment (AA) for those parts of the Plan (Policies and Site Allocations) which, without mitigation, would lead to a Likely Significant Effect on the relevant European sites. This will ensure the Screening HRA is legally compliant when considered in the context of the ECJ judgement.

The Appropriate Assessment is presented below.

*As of December 2018, the AA has also been amended to include assessment of a new site, AS27, Land at Horton Heath, Horton, Wimborne. This site emerged for consideration at the examination of the Mineral Plan in September 2018 and an assessment of the site under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017, is presented in subsequent sections of this document.*

## 1. Appropriate Assessment

Article 6(3) of the European Council Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and Wild Flora and Fauna, the Habitats Directive, (92/43/EEC) states that:

'Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In the light of the conclusions.....the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned....'

This directive is translated into UK law via the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017. Land Use Plans, such as the Mineral Plan, are covered by Regulations 105 and 107, as detailed in the Mineral Plan Screening HRA. The Regulations refer to Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas, and UK National Planning Policy Framework, 2012, para 118, (now revised in NPPF, 2018, to para 176) affords international Ramsar sites (and potential Ramsar sites, potential SPAs and possible SACs) the same protection. For the purposes of this Appropriate Assessment all sites are referred to as European sites, as is the case in the Mineral Plan Screening HRA.

Consultation with Natural England (NE) specifically relating to this Appropriate Assessment has been carried out as advised by PINS note (The Planning Inspectorate, 05/2018, Consideration of avoidance and reduction measures in Habitats Regulations Assessment: People over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta):

'9. Further consultation may be required on any revised screening assessment or AA. The Habitats Regulations require the competent authority to consult the appropriate statutory nature conservation body and have regard to any representations made by that body.'

## 2. The European Sites

An Appropriate Assessment must consider whether there would be an adverse impact on the integrity of the European sites relevant to the development. This must be specifically linked to the conservation objectives of these sites. The European sites relevant to the Mineral Plan are identified in Section 6 of the Screening HRA, and their features/attributes are set out in Appendix 1 of the same document.

For the purposes of this AA, the key sites are the Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar, the Dorset Heaths SAC, the Dorset Heaths (Purbeck and Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, Poole Harbour SPA and Ramsar, the Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC and the St Albans Head to Durlston Head SAC. The conservation objectives of these sites are set out in Table 1:

**Table 1: the relevant European sites and their conservation objectives**

<b>European Site</b>	<b>Conservation Objectives</b>
Dorset Heaths SAC	Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining or restoring; <input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The populations of qualifying species, and,</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of qualifying species within the site.</li> </ul>
Dorset Heathlands SPA	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The population of each of the qualifying features, and,</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of the qualifying features within the site.</li> </ul>
Dorset Heaths (Purbeck and Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining or restoring;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The populations of qualifying species, and,</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of qualifying species within the site.</li> </ul>
Poole Harbour SPA	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The population of each of the qualifying features, and,</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of the qualifying features within the site.</li> </ul>
Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining or restoring;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The populations of qualifying species, and,</li> </ul>

	<input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of qualifying species within the site. This
St Albans Head to Durlston Head SAC	<p>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining or restoring;</p> <input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species <input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats <input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species <input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely <input type="checkbox"/> The populations of qualifying species, and, <input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of qualifying species within the site.

### 3. Parts of the Plan where Likely Significant Effect would occur in the absence of mitigation.

Prior to *People Over Wind*, *Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta (C-323/17)*, previous case law (*Hart District Council v. Sect. of State for Communities and Local Government, CO/7623/2007*) allowed mitigation to be included at the screening stage of a Habs Regs Assessment. In the case of the Mineral Plan, the inclusion of mitigation resulted in the screening out of several Policies and Site Allocations which may otherwise have led to a Likely Significant Effect on the relevant European sites. The pathways by which this could occur are discussed in Sections 7 and 8 of the Screening HRA.

Appendix 1 below summarises those parts of the Mineral Plan where Likely Significant Effects would occur in the absence of mitigation, with a summary of the mitigation. These Policies and Site Allocations are those which are now considered in the Appropriate Assessment.

Consultation with Natural England has confirmed that the mitigation information provided at the screening stage of this assessment is sufficient to inform the Appropriate Assessment.

#### 3.1 AS27, Land at Horton Heath, Horton, Wimborne

*An additional site, AS27, Land at Horton Heath has now been proposed and is assessed under the Habs Regs in this and subsequent sections of this document.*

*Horton Heath is allocated for sand and gravel extraction for around 12 years and is around 6.2ha in area. The site lies to the west of Horton Common SSSI, a component part of the Dorset Heaths SAC and Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar. The site is hydrologically linked to the Dorset Heaths SAC and Dorset Heathlands SPA/Ramsar and would once have formed part of the area feeding the mire which historically ran from AS27 east towards Horton Common SSSI.*

*Investigations linked to earlier planning applications at this site have established that there is a layer of Broadstone Clay beneath the sand and gravel and discussions (including with Natural England) have focused on how disturbing this may lead to significant hydrological effects on the European sites, as set out in Sect 7.1 of the Screening HRA.*

*This issue results in the conclusion that allocation of AS27, without mitigation, would lead to likely significant effect on the relevant European sites. Therefore, the site is screened in to this Appropriate Assessment for further consideration and discussion of necessary mitigation.*

#### **4. Consideration of Adverse Impact**

In accordance with *People Over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta*, the mitigation considered in the original HRA now forms part of this Appropriate Assessment. The mitigation is summarised in Appendix 1 below, and a full discussion of this and the potential impacts on the European sites can be found in Sections 7 and 8 of the Screening HRA. Impacts were limited to:

- Uncertainty over whether adverse effects would occur due to weak policy wording (Policies MS-1: Sites for the provision of sand and gravel, MS-2: Sand and Gravel Area of Search, MS-3: Swanworth Quarry Extension, MS-4: Site for the provision of recycled aggregates, MS-5: Site for the provision of ball clay, MS-6: Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone and MS-8: Puddletown Road Area Policy).
- Proximity effects related to habitat fragmentation (AS-06 Great Plantation, Bere Regis)
- Species effects related to species typical of the European sites, due to disturbance or habitat loss (AS-06 Great Plantation, Bere Regis, AS-12, Philliol's Farm, Hyde, AS-13, Roeshot Quarry Extension, Christchurch and BC-04 Trigon Hill Extension, Wareham)
- Effects from Displacement of Recreation due to mineral extraction related activity preventing recreation within an allocated site, and/or leading to a resulting increase in recreation within a European site (AS-06 Great Plantation, Bere Regis and AS-12 Philliol's Farm, Hyde).

These potential adverse effects on integrity have been mitigated by:

- Making amendments to policies and accompanying text to secure protection of the European sites.
- Making amendments, specific to those sites listed above, within the relevant policies (MS-1: Production of Sand and Gravel and MS-5: Site for the provision of ball clay) to provide mitigation related to effects at the specific sites.
- Identifying mitigation measures for the relevant site allocations (set out in Appendix 1 below) to be secured through planning conditions or planning obligations through plan/project level Appropriate Assessment as appropriate in consultation with Natural England.

In addition, Section 7.4 of the Screening HRA discussed impacts which were assessed as likely to cause significant effects on the European sites at an earlier stage in this iterative process. Provision of more detailed information and discussion with the operators and Natural England resulted in these sites subsequently being screened out but, for completeness, the relevant mitigation (discussed in Section 7.4 of the Screening HRA) is included in Appendix 1 and the impacts and mitigation are listed below:

- Allocation of AS-19 Woodsford Quarry Extension, Woodsford and AS-26 Hurst Farm, Moreton could have led to proximity effects on Poole Harbour Ramsar and SPA sites as they are adjacent to the river Frome which flows into Poole Harbour. However, these were discounted after consideration of controls which would be required via environmental permitting and standard conditions of the mineral permission. In addition, safeguarding mitigation was put in place by including wording in policy MS-1 and by referring to the potential for restoration to wetland in the Development Guidelines.

- Allocation of RA-01 White's Pit, Canford Pit could have led to proximity effects on the Dorset Heaths SAC and Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar sites. However, these were discounted when looking at the allocated use in more detail and considering the effect of standard planning conditions for pest/vermin and dust control. In addition, safeguarding mitigation was put in place by including wording in policy MS-4.
- Allocation of PK-16 Swanworth Quarry Extension, Worth Matravers could have led to proximity effects on the Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC. However, these were discounted after a boundary revision and on consideration that the prevailing wind would carry dust away from the European site. Safeguarding mitigation was also put in place by including wording in policy MS-3.
- Allocation of PK-17 Home Field, Acton could have led to proximity effects on the St Alban's Head to Durlston Head SAC. However, these were discounted after a boundary revision and on consideration that the prevailing wind would carry dust away from the European site. Safeguarding mitigation was put in place by including wording in the relevant policy MS-6.

The prepared Screening HRA document which now forms part of this Appropriate Assessment provides detailed considerations of the effects of the policies and site allocations which are considered to have a Likely Significant Effect in the absence of further modification or detailed mitigation evidence. This Appropriate Assessment, which has been drawn up in consultation with Natural England, concludes that the Mineral Plan, incorporating the safeguarding modifications and mitigation brought forward in the original Screening HRA (and listed in Appendix 1 below) provides sufficient certainty for the authorities to be sure that there will be no adverse effect on the integrity of the European sites, alone or in combination.

#### **4.1 AS27 Land at Horton Heath, Horton, Wimborne**

*AS27 has been screened in to this assessment to acknowledge the likely significant hydrological effect on the adjacent European sites of working this site. Mitigation against this effect is needed and, at this stage in the planning process, must focus on:*

- *providing certainty of avoidance of adverse effect on the integrity of the European sites through policy wording stating that the site will not be worked until this issue has been resolved*
- *linking the policy wording to development considerations detailing the investigations necessary to avoid adverse effect on the European sites and the required restoration end state.*

*Inclusion of this mitigation will provide sufficient certainty at the Plan stage that inclusion of AS27 in the Mineral Plan would not lead to adverse effects on the integrity of the European sites and that development would not be allowed if this was shown to be the case. This will be provided by*

- *Inserting the following wording, specific to AS27 Land at Horton Heath, into the last paragraph of Policy MS-1: Production of Sand and Gravel:*
  - *Habitats Regulations Appraisal screening indicates that development at..... and development at AS27, Land at Horton Heath may have significant effects on hydrology in particular. In each of these cases development proposals must either mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place.*
- *Inserting the following wording into the Development Guidelines for AS27:*
  - *Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include:*

- *hydrological investigations prior to development to determine how the hydrological link with Horton Common SSSI (a component part of the relevant European sites) will be protected.*
- *Restoration of the worked areas to high quality acid grassland to support the adjacent European heathland sites.*

*This is in addition to wording providing certainty of avoidance of adverse effect which is already included in Policy MS-1 and the accompanying supporting text and which is set out in Appendix 1 below.*

Table 2 below summarises this by listing the relevant European sites, setting out whether there would be a Likely Significant Effect and whether inclusion of mitigation would subsequently avoid adverse effect on the integrity of the European sites, in light of their conservation objectives.

**Table 2: The relevant European sites, LSE and avoidance of adverse effects.**

<b>European Site</b>	<b>LSE Yes/No</b>	<b>Adverse Effects on integrity avoided for all features with mitigation, Yes/No</b>
Dorset Heaths SAC	Yes	Yes
Dorset heathlands SPA	Yes	Yes
Dorset Heathlands Ramsar	Yes	Yes
Dorset Heaths (Purbeck and Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC	Yes	Yes
Poole Harbour SPA	Yes	Yes
Poole Harbour Ramsar	Yes	Yes
Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC	Yes	Yes
St Albans Head to Durlston Head SAC	Yes	Yes

## **5. Conclusion**

Having concluded that the Mineral Plan will have a likely significant effect in the absence of avoidance and mitigation measures on the above European sites, this Appropriate Assessment is presented by Dorset County Council as the Competent Authority in accordance with requirements under Reg 105 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017, and Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive.

The AA concludes that, subject to suitable mitigation measures, there will be no adverse effect on the integrity of the relevant European sites, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects.



**Appendix 1: Site Allocations and Policies in the Mineral Plan which would lead to Likely Significant Effect on the relevant European Sites, plus proposed mitigation.**

Proposed Allocation	Policy/Site	Likely Significant Effect concluded without mitigation?	Mitigation proposed
Great Plantation, Puddletown Road (AS-06)		Yes	<p>Creation of an off-site heathland support area to mitigate displaced recreation.</p> <p>Design of a network of walks/paths around the remainder of the site, to ensure walkers are directed away from areas adjacent to the European site</p> <p>Phasing of works, with restoration to high quality heathland/grassland habitat taking place as soon as a phase is finished.</p> <p>Enhancement of areas within the 'blue line' boundary to create additional habitat for Annex 1 and Annex 2 species.</p> <p>Insertion of the following site specific text into the wording of Policy MS-1: <i>'Habitats Regulations Appraisal screening indicates that development at AS-06 Great Plantation may have significant effects on species, proximity and displacement of recreation in particular.... Development proposals must either mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place.'</i></p>
Philliol's Farm, Hyde (AS-12)		Yes	<p>Routing the haul road through existing forestry plantation, avoiding existing walking routes and habitat used by Annex 1 birds.</p> <p>Creating a haul road entrance which is separate from the existing ride entrance.</p> <p>Insertion of the following site specific text into the wording of Policy MS-1: <i>'Habitats Regulations Appraisal screening indicates that development at.....AS-12 Philliol's Farm may have significant effects on displacement of recreation and species in particular.... Development proposals must either mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place.'</i></p>
Roeshot Quarry Extension, Christchurch (AS-13)		Yes	<p>Creation of a buffer strip along both banks of the river Mude.</p> <p>Improvements to existing southern damselfly habitat within or adjacent to the allocated site.</p> <p>Careful management of water resources to ensure natural flow levels and water quality are maintained in the river Mude</p> <p>Phasing of works alongside the H10WCC allocated site to ensure only one side of the river is affected at any time.</p>

		<p>Insertion of the following site specific text into the wording of Policy MS-1: <i>'Habitats Regulations Appraisal screening indicates that development at.....AS-13 Roeshot Quarry Extension may have significant effects on species in particular.... Development proposals must either mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place.'</i></p>
Woodsford Quarry Extension, Woodsford (AS-19)	Yes	<p>Use of standard planning conditions and environmental permitting.</p> <p>Insertion of the following text into Policy MS-1 stating that <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p>Development Guidelines referring to restoration to large scale wetland to contribute towards overall reduction in phosphate, nitrogen and sediment load in the lower reaches of the River Frome and Poole Harbour.</p>
Hurst Farm, Moreton (AS-26)	Yes	<p>Use of standard planning conditions and environmental permitting.</p> <p>Insertion of the following text into Policy MS-1 stating that <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p>Development Guidelines referring to restoration to large scale wetland to contribute towards overall reduction in phosphate, nitrogen and sediment load in the lower reaches of the River Frome and Poole Harbour.</p>
Land at Horton Heath, Horton, Wimborne (AS-27)	Yes	<p><i>Insertion of the following site specific text into the wording of Policy MS-1: 'Habitats Regulations Appraisal screening indicates that development at..... and development at AS27, Land at Horton Heath may have significant effects on hydrology in particular. In each of these cases development proposals must either mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place.'</i></p> <p><i>Inserting the following wording into the Development Guidelines for AS27: 'Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include:</i></p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>hydrological investigations prior to development to determine how the hydrological link with Horton Common SSSI (a component part of the relevant European sites) will be protected.</i></li> <li>• <i>Restoration of the worked areas to high quality acid grassland to support the adjacent European heathland sites.</i></li> </ul>
White's Pit, Canford Heath (RA-01)	Yes	<p>Use of standard planning conditions controlling dust and vermin.</p> <p>Insertion of the following text into Policy MS-4 stating that <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p>
Trigon Hill Extension, Wareham (BC-04)	Yes	<p>Creation of a buffer between the allocated site and the adjacent European sites.</p> <p>Phased working to enable restoration of high quality heathland/acid grassland habitat immediately each extraction phase is complete. This will mitigate any potential effects on Annex 1 birds</p> <p>Insertion of the following site specific text into the wording of Policy MS-5: <i>'Habitats Regulations Appraisal screening indicates that development at BC-04 Trigon Hill Extension may have significant effects on species in particular. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place.'</i></p>
Swanworth Quarry Extension, Worth Matravers (PK-16)	Yes	<p>Creation of a buffer zone between the allocated site and the European site at an earlier stage in the Habs Regs Assessment process.</p> <p>Insertion of the following text into Policy MS-4 stating that <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p>
Home Field, Acton (PK-17)	Yes	<p>Creation of a buffer zone between the allocated site and the European site at an earlier stage in the Habs Regs Assessment process.</p> <p>Insertion of the following text into Policy MS-6 stating that <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not</i></p>

		<i>adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.'</i>
Policy MS-1: Sites for the provision of sand and gravel	Yes	<p>Insert additional sentence into the text of Policy MS-1 stating development/allocated sites must demonstrate that: <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p>Insert additional sentence in supporting text stating development/allocated sites: <i>'should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this plan.'</i></p>
Policy MS-2: Sand and Gravel Area of Search	Yes	<p>Insert additional sentence into the text of Policy MS-2 stating development/ sites must demonstrate that: <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p>Insert additional sentence in supporting text stating development/ sites: <i>'should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this plan.'</i></p>
Policy MS-3: Swanworth Quarry Extension	Yes	<p>Insert additional sentence into the text of Policy MS-3 stating development/allocated sites must demonstrate that: <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p>Insert additional sentence in supporting text stating development/allocated sites: <i>'should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this plan.'</i></p>

Policy MS-4: Site for the provision of recycled aggregates	Yes	<p>Insert additional sentence into the text of Policy MS-4 stating development/allocated sites must demonstrate that: <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p>Insert additional sentence in supporting text stating development/allocated sites: <i>'should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this plan.'</i></p>
Policy MS-5: Site for the provision of ball clay	Yes	<p>Insert additional sentence into the text of Policy MS-5 stating development/allocated sites must demonstrate that: <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p>Insert additional sentence in supporting text stating development/allocated sites: <i>'should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this plan.'</i></p>
Policy MS-6: Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone	Yes	<p>Insert additional sentence into the text of Policy MS-6 stating development/allocated sites must demonstrate that: <i>'possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise.....would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p>Insert additional sentence in supporting text stating development/allocated sites: <i>'should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this plan.'</i></p>
Policy MS-8: Puddletown Road Area Policy	Yes	<p>Insertion additional sentence into the text of Policy MS-8 stating: <i>'Development, restoration, management or other activities will only be undertaken where it can</i></p>

		<p><i>be demonstrated that any possible effects that might result will not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.'</i></p> <p><i>Insert additional sentence into supporting text stating: 'Any development, restoration, management or other activities relating to the implementation of this policy should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this Plan.'</i></p>
--	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

