# Purbeck Local Plan

Submission January 2019

Schedule of possible modifications to Purbeck Local Plan pre-submission draft (2018)





| Schedule of possible modifications to Purbeck Local Plan pre-submission draft (2018) |
|--|
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## Contents

| Background   | 3   |
|--|-----|
| What are modifications?  | 3   |
| The Council's approach to modifications to the Purbeck Local Plan presubmission draft (October 2018) | 3   |
| Appendices   |     |
| Appendix 1: Schedule of modifications  | . 5 |

#### **Background**

1. The Council is committed to preparing a new local with ambitious strategies to support sustainable growth and prosperity across the District up to 2034. The Council does not wish to delay work on its new local plan unnecessarily in order to put in place the policies needed to help deliver its strategies and fulfil its commitment to review the Purbeck Local Plan Part 1 (adopted 2012). The Council's local development scheme sets an ambitious timetable for review of its adopted local plan. At the start of 2018 the Council organised a Regulation 18 consultation on alternative strategies for meeting the District's housing needs (January to March 2018). After this consultation was completed it has updated key pieces of evidence, completed drafting its new local plan and publishing the Purbeck Local Plan pre-submission draft on 22nd October 2018. The Regulation 19 public inspection period ended on the 3rd December 2018. After completing its preparations the Council submitted the Purbeck Local Plan for examination on 28th January 2019.

#### What are modifications?

- 2. Council's should only submit a local plan for examination if they think that the document is ready. Planning laws and national planning policy outline the legal / procedural requirements which must be considered when preparing and drafting new local plans. National planning policy describes the tests for soundness. Modifications are changes to a published draft local plan that materially affect its policies.
- 3. The Council is satisfied that the Purbeck Local Plan (2018 2034) is ready for examination, the 'Self-assessment of soundness' and 'Outline of procedural and legal compliance' provide detailed justification for the Council's decision to submit the local plan for examination. Despite this the Council has invited the Inspector who examines the Purbeck Local Plan to:

recommend modifications of the document that would make it one that—

- (a) satisfies the requirements mentioned in subsection (5)(a), and
- (b) is sound. (Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, section 20, 7C)

# The Council's approach to modifications to the Purbeck Local Plan pre-submission draft (October 2018)

- 4. There are a number of 'matters', mostly raised through the responses to the pre-submission draft local plan, which are summarised in a schedule in this document. The Council decided that further consultation on changes to the draft local plan, made in response to these 'matters, prior to submission would introduce unnecessary delay. The Council invites the Inspector who examines its local plan to consider whether these 'matters' need to be discussed, and resolved, during the examination in order to make the local plan legally compliant or sound. The Council has listed a number of possible modifications in this document to address the 'matters' raised in the responses and to provide the starting point for any discussions at examination.
- 5. The Council recognises that the suggested schedule of modifications:
  - may need to be updated (with amendments or additions) following the examination to take account of discussions;

- will need to be subject to Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulation Assessment;
   and
- will need to be subject to further public consultation.
- 6. The Council notes that the precise arrangements for further consultation will need to be agreed (taking account of 'Procedural Practice in the Examination of Local Plans (2016), bullet points at paragraph 5.27).
- 7. The table below sets out the Council's proposed modifications to the Purbeck Local Plan presubmission draft (October 2018). As explained above at this stage these are for information only and the Council considers the plan sound without them. The table sets out: (i) the main modification number; (ii) the policy to be changed; (iii). At this stage, the Council considers that all these possible changes would be 'main modifications' should the Inspector be minded to recommend that the changes are made.

# **Appendix 1: Schedule of modifications**

| Modification<br>number  | Policy / Paragraph<br>reference   | Modification Additional wording - Green and underlined, deletions - red and scored through  | Reason  | Effect / Action   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MM1. Raised<br>through Local<br>Plan Members<br>Working Group             | Policy V1: Spatial strategy for sustainable communities - part 4.   | Amend the first sentence of this part of the policy to delete the reference made to small sites policy H8 so as read: "Subject to meeting all other relevant policies within the Purbeck Local Plan, the Council will consider favourably development proposals on sites identified in policies H4-H87" | Clarity of drafting  – as currently drafted policy V1 implies that all planning permissions for small sites will be permitted. H8 is a criteria based policy that does not allocate any actual sites. | In accordance with<br>the plan-led<br>system and s38 (6)<br>of PCPA 2004,<br>favourable<br>consideration will<br>apply to proposals<br>identified in the<br>development plan. |
| MM2. (PLPP748) Bournemouth Borough Council and (PLPP746) Borough of Poole | Policy V2: Green belt, clause a. Subject to the provisions of national planning policy, the Council will protect the green belt, as designated on the policies map, to: a. prevent the spread of the Poole, Bournemouth and | Subject to the provisions of national planning policy, the Council will protect the green belt, as designated on the policies map, to: a. Check the unrestricted sprawl prevent the spread of the south east Dorset built-up area Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch conurbation.                      | Borough of Poole and Bournemouth Borough Council consider inclusion of the policy unnecessary due to the NPPF's strong green belt policies, however if the policy is continued, the                   | Revised proposed wording more closely reflects the first of the five green belt purposes outlined in para 134 a) of the NPPF.   |

|  | Christchurch conurbation;   |  | amendment is sought.   |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| MM3.<br>(PLPP437)<br>Royal Society<br>for the<br>Protection of<br>Birds (RSPB) | Policy E5: Sustainable drainage systems (SuDs) Where needed, sustainable drainage systems should be designed taking account of: d. the character and nature of the proposed development; e. the characteristics (including risks from flooding, geology, water table and surface features of land) of the site and its surroundings; f. costs of the drainage system and arrangements for ongoing maintenance and operation over the lifetime of the development; and g. opportunities to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding on site or elsewhere. | Add an extra clause h: Where needed, sustainable drainage systems should be designed taking account of: d. the character and nature of the proposed development; e. the characteristics (including risks from flooding, geology, water table and surface features of land) of the site and its surroundings; f. costs of the drainage system and arrangements for ongoing maintenance and operation over the lifetime of the development; and g. opportunities to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding on site or elsewhere; and- h. opportunities for maximising biodiversity benefit. | To better reflect national planning policy. The NPPF (para 175d) states that opportunities to incorporate biodiversity improvements in and around developments should be encouraged, especially where this can secure measurable net gains for biodiversity. | Revised proposed wording reflects paragraph 175 of the NPPF. |

| MM4. PDC update.   | Paragraph 117  | Update housing trajectory graph to reflect the latest available information on delivery and phasing supplied by the site owners and developers for allocated sites.  | Alter trajectory in light of changes to Redbridge Pit / Moreton Station delivery.  | Ensures that the housing trajectory is based on most up to date available information.                           |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| MM5.<br>(PLPP445)<br>Home Builders<br>Federation                         | Policy H3, clause g - 'include details of charging points for electrical vehicles and the infrastructure needed to achieve superfast broadband connectivity for the new homes;'  | Amend policy H3 clause g to read:  'include details of charging points for electrical vehicles and the infrastructure needed to achieve superfast broadband connectivity for the new homes and, where possible, details of charging points for electrical vehicles;'                                   | Site specific consultation may be required by energy suppliers to ensure capacity and viability assessment at £500 per dwelling may not be sufficient.   | No major effect,<br>but will ensure any<br>adverse costs to<br>implement won't<br>impact on housing<br>delivery. |
| MM6.<br>(PLPP304)<br>Martin Miller on<br>behalf of The<br>Moreton Estate | H4 clause b - 'provide improvements to the station / travel interchange, to include an additional shelter for customers travelling on the railway, secure cycle parking and improvements to the pedestrians crossing over the railway line;' | Amend policy H4 clause b to read:  'make a financial contribution toward provide improvements to the station / travel interchange, to include an additional shelter for customers travelling on the railway, secure cycle parking and improvements to the pedestrians crossing over the railway line;' | Not clear that improvements are necessary to make the development acceptable / directly related to the development. Considered desirable in the Infrastructure delivery plan / improvements delivered together with other organisations. | Provides clarification on delivery mechanisms for station and travel interchange improvements.                   |

| MM7.          | Policy H8 - Small sites     | Amend last p  | aragraph of p            | olicy H8 to  | read:                |                               | To ensure              | To ensure policy      |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| (PLPP174) Mr  | next to existing            | 'Where propo  | sals would be            | in the gre   | en belt, o           | nly limited                   | compliance with        | compliance with       |
| & Mrs Dunlop  | settlements.                | infilling, on sites positioned directly in-between existing |                          |              |                      | NPPF is not                   | NPPF. No               |                       |
| (Lytchett     | 'Where proposals would      | buildings, wit  | hin <del>and aroun</del> | d the edge   | <del>s of</del> town | s and villages                | misinterpreted -       | significant effect in |
| Matravers)    | be in the green belt, only  | will be permit  | ted. Existing t          | owns and v   | villages a           | re listed                     | 'limited infilling in  | terms of outcome      |
|               | limited infilling, on sites | under 'settler  | nent hierarchy           | ' in the glo | ssary of t           | he Purbeck                    | villages.' If there is | of the policy.        |
|               | positioned in-between       | Local Plan.'  |                          |              |                      |                               | an infill site on the  |                       |
|               | existing buildings, within  |   |                          |              |                      |                               | edge (and within a     |                       |
|               | and around the edges of     |   |                          |              |                      |                               | settlement) it can     |                       |
|               | towns and villages will     |   |                          |              |                      |                               | still be acceptable.   |                       |
|               | be permitted.'              |   |                          |              |                      |                               |                        |                       |
| MM8.          | Paragraph 185.              |   | nd sentence o            |              |                      |                               | For avoidance of       | No significant        |
| (PLPP55) Mrs  | 'The Council defines a      |   |                          |              |                      | property that                 | doubt, to provide      | effect in terms of    |
| Lesley Elliot | principal residence as a    | •   | er's only or ma          |              | •                    |                               | clarification that     | outcome of the        |
|               | property that is the        |   | end the majorit          | •            |                      | _                             | military personnel     | policy.               |
|               | occupier's only or main     | ,   | ome. This inclu          |              | _                    |                               | would not be           |                       |
|               | residence, where the        |   | rd and homes             |              |                      |                               | disadvantaged by       |                       |
|               | residents spend the         |   | <u>ipal residence</u>    | when not     | posted av            | way from                      | the policy.            |                       |
|               | majority of their time      | home.'  |                          |              |                      |                               |                        |                       |
|               | when not working away       |   |                          |              |                      |                               |                        |                       |
|               | from home. This             |   |                          |              |                      |                               |                        |                       |
|               | includes tenants renting    |   |                          |              |                      |                               |                        |                       |
|               | a property from a           |   |                          |              |                      |                               |                        |                       |
|               | landlord.'                  |   |                          |              |                      | [                             |                        | _                     |
| MM9.          | Policy EE1: Employment      | Reference   | Site Name                | Parish       | Total                | Estimated                     | Natural England's      | No significant        |
| (PLPP473)     | land supply                 |   |                          |              | Site                 | Remaining                     | response               | effects as the        |
| Natural       |                             |   |                          |              | Extent               | Availability                  | expressed              | Council is            |
| England and   | Reference to strategic      |   |                          |              | (ha)                 | (ha)                          | concern that the       | allocating /          |
| (PLPP776)     | employment site ES2         |   | mployment s              |              |                      |                               | Council had not        | safeguarding          |
| Dorset Local  | (Dorset Innovation Park)    | ES2   | Dorset                   | Wool         | <del>50ha</del>      | 4 <del>0.0*</del> <u>38.4</u> | demonstrated a         | enough                |
| Enterprise    | in the policy table –       |   | Innovation               | and          | <u>43.4ha</u>        |                               | need for the land      | employment land       |
| Partnership   | hectare figures given for   |   | Park                     | Winfrith     |                      |                               | and that re-           | to meet the           |
|               | total site extent and       |   | (Enterprise              |              |                      |                               | development as         | District's identified |
|               |                             |   | Zone)                    |              |                      |                               | part of Dorset         | need for additional   |

|  | estimated remaining availability.   | Total provision   | 118.4<br>111.8  | <del>47.0</del> <u>45.4</u>   | Innovation Park would prevent the site's restoration  | employment land over the plan period.   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MM10.<br>(PLPP473)<br>Natural<br>England | Policy EE1 - at the bottom of the table states - *(including 10ha post 2023)  | Delete reference at bottom on *(including 10ha post 2023)   | of the table in polic   | cy EE1 -  | as heathland.  Natural England's response expressed concern that the Council had not demonstrated a need for the land and that redevelopment as part of Dorset Innovation Park would prevent the site's restoration as heathland. | No significant effects as the Council is allocating / safeguarding sufficient employment land to meet the District's identified need for additional employment land over the plan period. |
| MM11.<br>(PLPP473)<br>Natural<br>England | Paragraph 209 –  'The LDO is intended to be in place for the duration of the Enterprise Zone status of 25 years, which was designated in April 2017. The site is planned to expand after the decommissioning of the current Magnox site and a further 10ha being developed which already has Enterprise Zone status.' | Amend paragraph to read:  'The LDO will be in place for Zone status of 25 years, whi 2017. The LDO covers the in Park. The site is planned to decommissioning of the current of the end of the status. This comprises an existing on site waste material has area surrounded on three the remaining 7.6 hectares adjoining the railway line is remployment use in this Plant. | ich was designate nitial 40ha of Dors expand after the rent Magnox site at which already has of land acquired anagement compare sides by the LDC of Enterprise Zongot being allocated | d in April et Innovation and a further as Enterprise by Tradebe, ny, and a 0.5 boundary. e land | Natural England's response expressed concern that the Council had not demonstrated a need for the land and that redevelopment as part of Dorset Innovation Park would prevent the site's restoration as heathland.                | No significant effects as the Council is allocating / safeguarding enough employment land to meet the District's identified need for additional employment land over the plan period.     |

| MM12.<br>(PLPP463)<br>Royal Society<br>for the<br>Protection of<br>Birds (RSPB) | Policy EE2: Planning for employment | Amend the first sentence of the second paragraph of policy EE2 to read:  'New employment uses and the expansion of existing employment uses will be encouraged in rural areas through the conversion of buildings or well-designed new buildings, if the size, appearance and layout of the proposal does not harm the character of the surrounding landscape, or have an adverse impact on biodiversity. The diversification of agricultural or rural businesses to help rural regeneration and improve the sustainability of communities will be permitted provided any impact can be satisfactorily mitigated.' | To better reflect national planning policy. NPPF (para 175) makes clear that in determining applications harm to biodiversity should be avoided.           | Ensures explicit consideration is given to the need for new or expanded employment uses to safeguard biodiversity interests.     |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| MM13.<br>(PLPP288 and<br>PLPP285)<br>Dorset County<br>Council                   | Policy EE2: Planning for employment | Revise EE2 to add an additional clause d to read:  'd. or meets an identified need for waste management infrastructure.'  (Delete and, at the end of clause b and at end of clause c replace - with;)  | Revise EE2 to enable permission of waste management transfer facilities on land with existing B1, B2 and B8 employment uses.                               | Recognition that a waste facility is an appropriate use within an allocated employment site.                                     |
| MM14.<br>(PLPP11)<br>Wareham<br>Neighbourhood<br>Plan Group                     | Paragraph 215                       | Amend paragraph wording to read: 'Wareham's town centre is also defined but the Neighbourhood Plan Group is looking to extend revise this boundary through their plan to better reflect recent changes of use and increase the potential for Wareham. The Wareham Neighbourhood Plan Group anticipates completing its plan sometime in 2019.'  | To align with Wareham Neighbourhood Plan and avoid unintended consequences of local plan policy superseding neighbourhood plan policy due to timing of the | Need to ensure consistent approach taken in both plans in terms of depicting the extent of the town centre boundary for Wareham. |

|   |  |  | respective examinations.   |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| MM15.<br>(PLPP664)<br>Woodland<br>Trust | Policy I3: Green<br>Infrastructure, trees and<br>hedgerows | Add an additional clause e to policy I3 so as to read:  'New development will, commensurate with its size and location, be expected to protect and strengthen the existing green infrastructure network by  c. connecting together and enriching biodiversity and wildlife habitats; and  d. improving connections, green corridors and links between different components of the green infrastructure network; and  e. replacing and planting additional trees and hedgerows  | Policy should include a robust statement requiring the retention, replacement and provision of additional trees and hedgerows.                   | Proposed addition to policy will ensure that new development replaces existing and, where appropriate, provides additional, trees and hedgerows. |
| MM16. PDC update                        | Paragraph 253  | where appropriate.'  Amend paragraph so as to read:  'The Purbeck Built Sports Facilities Assessment (2017) showed that Purbeck has adequate but out-dated sports facilities; the Council will work in partnership with facility owners to identify opportunities to enhance and improve existing provision.  A rural Dorset wide playing pitch strategy is also in preparation and will be completed by July 2019. The playing pitch strategy will consider the quality and quantity of the current facilities and identify where there is a current shortfall in provision. The requirement for local play and other open space facilities will be considered on a site by site basis. Where there is an identified shortfall, and having regard to the multi-functionality of open/green space, developments will be expected to meet the Fields in Trust standards currently set out within guidance for outdoor sport and play beyond the six acre standard, October 2015.' | To provide clarification by adding reference to emerging evidence and the current policy position with regards recreation, sport and open space. | No significant effect other than making reference to emerging evidence and providing clarification on how the policy will be implemented.        |

| MM17.<br>(PLPP307)<br>Martin Miller on<br>behalf of The<br>Moreton Estate | Policy I4: Recreation, sport and open space 'New Facilities Residential development will be required to make provision for formal and informal recreation, sport and/or open space facilities on-site to achieve the identified Fields in Trust benchmark guidelines.'  | Amend first sentence of the first paragraph in policy I4 to read:  'New facilities Residential development will be required to make provision for formal and informal recreation, sport and/or open space facilities on-site to achieve the identified following Fields in Trust benchmark guidelines.'   | To ensure consistency with the drafting of policy H3 clause f which requires development on allocated sites to 'provide suitability designed green space following the Fields in Trust 'Guidance for Outdoor Sport and Play Beyond the Six Acre Standard for England.' | No significant effect other than ensuring consistency between wording and the implementation of policies in the plan.  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| MM18. PDC update  | Paragraph 256 The Council is aware of a proposal for the siting of a holiday park at the junction of the A35 and B3075 roads which, by way of compensatory mitigation measures, offers the potential to meet the identified need for a strategic SANG in this part of the District. The proposal is at an early stage and is in the green belt. The Council's green belt review concludes that the provision of a strategic | Amend paragraph 256 to read:  'Much of the north of the District is in green belt. The provision of a strategic SANG would be likely to provide the very special circumstances required to allow development in the green belt. The Council is aware of a proposal for the siting of a holiday park at the junction of the A35 and B3075 roads which, by way of compensatory mitigation measures, could offers the potential to meet the identified need for a strategic SANG in this part of the District. Other potential developments may be able to demonstrate the very special circumstances required, (to approve otherwise inappropriate development in the green belt), through the provision of a strategic SANG.  The proposal for the holiday park is at an early stage and is in the green belt. The Council's green belt review concludes that the provision of a strategic SANG would provide the exceptional circumstances required to amend the green belt | To ensure that development within the green belt only happens in very special circumstances.   | Proposed change to the policy would have the effect of broadening the ambit of the policy to enable the consideration of a broader range of potential developments in the green belt (in addition to the holiday park proposal) that might be capable of demonstrating a case for very special |

|           | SANG would provide the exceptional circumstances required to amend the green belt boundary for the adjacent siting of a holiday park. The Council will need to be satisfied that the proposal;  a. has the potential to make positive and beneficial use of the green belt; b. increases access to the countryside for informal recreation in a location that provides an alternative to valuable heathland habitat nearby; c. enhances biodiversity within the proposed holiday park; and, d. provides sufficient heathland mitigation both for the holiday park and small scale residential development in the area. | boundary for the adjacent siting of a holiday park. The Council will need to be satisfied that any proposal;  a. has the potential to make positive and beneficial use of the green belt;  b. increases access to the countryside for informal recreation in a location that provides an alternative to valuable heathland habitat nearby;  c. enhances biodiversity within the proposed holiday park; and,  d. provides sufficient heathland mitigation both for the holiday park and small scale residential development in the area.' |                     | circumstances through provision of a strategic SANG. |
|-----------|--|--|---------------------|--|
| MM19. PDC | Paragraph 257  | Amend paragraph 257 to read:   | To ensure           | See entry given for                                  |
| update    |  | 'Any future holiday park development demonstrating very  | development in      | para 256 above for                                   |
|           |  | special circumstances and/or SANG project would require  | the green belt only | effect.  |

| MM20. PDC update                               | Policy I5: Morden Park<br>strategic suitable<br>alternative natural<br>greenspace (SANG)  | agreement on delivery of the proposals, including management plans, to be agreed with both Natural England and the Council.'  Amend policy to read:  'Policy I5: Morden Park sStrategic suitable alternative natural greenspace (SANG) and holiday park.  The area shown on the policies map will be developed as a A strategic SANG and holiday park will be developed in the north of the District through an enabling development at Morden or elsewhere subject to agreement between the applicant, the Council and Natural England.' | happens in very special circumstances. To ensure that, as part of any suitable enabling development, a SANG is delivered in the north of the District.                   | See entry given for para 256 above for effect.   |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| MM21.<br>(PLPP116)<br>Swanage<br>Railway Trust | Policy I7 clause a - 'the use cannot reasonably be met within a settlement, and the facility: i. meets an identified need; ii. is located close to a settlement in an accessible location; and iii. its impact on landscape, environment and local character is minimised.' | Amend clause a. of policy I7 to read:  'the use cannot reasonably be met within a settlement, and the facility:  i. meets an identified need; ii. is where appropriate located close to a settlement or in an accessible location; and iii. its impact on landscape, environment and local character is minimised.'   | To permit community facilities/services in remote rural areas that are not necessarily closely related to an existing settlement (e.g. improvements to Swanage Railway). | To address the matter raised and accord with NPPF para 108a, it is only need to insert the word 'or' in the policy. No significant effect in terms of outcome of the policy. |
| MM22.<br>(PLPP473)<br>Natural<br>England       | Implementation and monitoring table relating to Policy H8: Small sites next to existing settlements. 'Progress on delivery of housing numbers delivered in relation to  | Amend entry for policy H8 in the third column of the local plan policies monitoring table to read: 'Progress on delivery of housing numbers delivered in relation to the small sites policy to be reported in the authority monitoring report. Monitor the number and spatial distribution of homes permitted on small sites to ascertain whether the cumulative impacts of development are likely to   | Natural England expressed concern that cumulatively new homes permitted on small sites could have significant effects  | No significant effects subject to effective monitoring of the implementation of H8 the small sites policy.   |

## Schedule of possible modifications to Purbeck Local Plan pre-submission draft (2018)

| 1                                       | <del></del>  | •      |  |
|---|--------------|--------|--|
| be reported in the authority monitoring | mitigating.' | sites. |  |
| report.'                                |              |        |  |