Purbeck Local Plan

Submission January 2019

Outline of procedural and legal compliance







Legal Compliance Checklist

Introduction

- 1.1 The Purbeck Local Plan (PLP) has been formally submitted to the Secretary of State. The plan will now be examined by an independent inspector to assess whether it has been prepared in accordance with the legal and procedural requirements as set out by the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 ("2012 Regulations") and whether the plan is "sound".
- 1.2 To facilitate the examination process and for the benefit of the planning inspector and other examination participants, local planning authorities are encouraged to submit a completed Legal Compliance Checklist.
- 1.3 This document sets out the completed Legal Compliance Checklist. It seeks to provide succinct reference points that demonstrate how the Purbeck Local Plan is legally compliant. The responses to the checklist further signpost the reader to a range of other supporting evidence that has been prepared and the processes undertaken to get the Plan to this point. Through the examination process additional information may be required to be developed and published in support of the Plan. All such information will be published on the Council's website and clearly referenced and recorded as additional documents submitted to inform the examination process.
- 1.4 Table 1 below summarises the key stages in preparing the Plan to the point of submission. It will be referred to within the following Checklist. Unless otherwise stated, references to chapters, policies and paragraphs will be drawn from the October 2018 Regulation 19 Purbeck Local Plan Pre-Submission Draft.

Table 1: Key stages in preparing the Purbeck Local Plan

| Development Plan Document | Publication | Abbreviation |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Date | |
| Purbeck Local Plan Part 1 | November 2012 | PLP1 |
| Early engagement – scoping exercise | 2013 | SCOPE |
| Partial Review Issues and Options | January 2015 | PRI&O |
| Partial Review Options Consultation | June 2016 | PRO |
| PAS independent review | February 2017 | PAS |
| New Homes for Purbeck Consultation | January 2018 | NHP |
| Purbeck Local Plan Pre-submission | October 2018 | LPPSD |
| Purbeck Local Plan Submission Version | January 2019 | LPSV |

The Local Plan Legal Compliance Checklist

Background to Checklist

- A. This checklist has been updated for PAS by SNR Denton. It supersedes the previous checklist and is based on Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2012. It should be used with the Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (also updated January 2013).
- B. Evidence provided to support the plan should be relevant and proportionate; use of this checklist is not to be taken as a reason to assemble more than is needed.

Stage one: The early stages

- C. Where the 'possible evidence' column refers to a document that will not be complete until a later stage (for example, the sustainability appraisal report), documents that will contribute to that report are relevant at the earlier stages. This way, the submitted report provides the evidence at submission, with an audit trail back to its source.
- D. In terms of legal compliance, the main issues for the early stage are in relation to:
 - planning for community engagement;
 - planning the sustainability appraisal (including consultation with the statutory environment consultation bodies);
 - identifying significant cross boundary and inter-authority issues; and
 - ensuring that the plan rests on a credible evidence base, including meeting the Act's requirement for keeping matters affecting the development of the area under review.
- E. Regulation 17 notes that a statement setting out which bodies and people the council invited to make representations under Regulation 18 is one of the proposed submission documents. In this tool, the term 'consultation statement' is used to describe this statement.
- F. Section 33A of the Act (introduced by the Localism Act 2011) introduces a duty to cooperate as a mechanism to ensure that local planning authorities and other

bodies engage with each other on issues which are likely to have a significant effect on more than one planning area. This pervades every stage of the plan preparation. A plan may be found unsound if a council cannot show that it has taken reasonable steps to comply with the duty.

Stage one: The beginning

| Activit | ity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence Purbeck – Ev | idence Provided |
|------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| in 2. Ha | the DPD identified the adopted LDS? lave you recorded the timetable for its roduction? | The Act section 15(2) and section 19(1) | NPPF para 15 | | the time of commencement, publication and submission of the DPD i. The relevant authority monitoring report (if changes | est LDS was published in February tifies preparation of the Local Plan ssion. The Partial Review was identified DS first published in February 2013 in February 2018 to record a full Local Plan review. |
| en pro pro | ow will community ngagement be rogrammed into the reparation of the PD? | The Act section 19(3) Regulation 18 | NPPF paras 16, 25 | If the SCI is upto-date, use that. If not set out any changes to community engagement as a result of changes in legislation. | . The project plan for the DPD consultation re how communi undertaken. Touncil's form Statement. The SCI was for revised and up | e of the Plan's production, published eports have been prepared detailing ty engagement has been These are further referred to in the all regulation 22 Consultation irst adopted in March 2013, then odated in October 2018. All revisions use to changing legislation and/or |
| the bo | ave you considered le appropriate odies you should onsult? | Regulation 18 | NPPF paras 24, 25 | Regulation 2 defines the general and specific consultation bodies. The possible | Reports and decisions setting out the approach to specific and general consultation bodies Council consul reported in consultation to the council also that required under the consultation to the council consultation to the co | eral range of consultees that the ts are set out in the SCI and isultation statement. so maintains a Consultee Database pdate following introduction of the Protection Regulations (GDPR) in |

| Act | tivity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Ро | ssible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|-----|---|--|---|--|-----|---|--|
| | | The Astronomics | NDDE none 00 | evidence may duplicate each other. Only use what you need to. | - | Departs and | Further details are contained within the Statements of Consultation for the respective stages. |
| 4. | How you will cooperate with other local planning authorities, including counties, and prescribed bodies, to identify and address any issues or strategic priorities that will have a significant impact on at least two planning areas? | The Act section 33A(1)(a) and (b), section 33A(3)(d) (e) & (4) The Act Section 20(5)(c) Regulation 4 | NPPF paras 26, 27 Under NPPF Para 35, to be 'Effective' a plan should be based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities. Strategic policies NPPF Para 21 | Section 33A (4) defines a "strategic matter". Under section 33A (6) the required engagement includes considering joint approaches to the plan making activities (including the preparatory activities) and considering whether to agree joint local development documents under section 28. The bodies prescribed by section 33A(1)(c) are set out at | ii. | Reports and decisions setting out the approach to be taken Consultation statement | The Duty to Cooperate Statement and Statement of Common Ground have been produced alongside the submission of this Plan. The Duty to Cooperate Statement further sets out the policy outcomes of cooperation and evidences the active and continuous engagement with those parties. |
| 5. | How you will co- | The Act section | NPPF paras 24 | Regulation 4(1). Section 33A (4) | i | Reports and | As above, the Duty to Cooperate Statement sets |
| | , | | | 1 | | r | -,, |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| operate with any local enterprise partnerships (LEP) or local nature partnerships (LNP) to identify and address any issues or strategic priorities that will have a significant impact on at least two planning areas? | 33A(1)(c) and section 33A(9), section 33A(3)(d) and (e) The Act section 20(5)(c). Regulation 4 | to 27 | defines a "strategic matter". Regulation 4(2) prescribes LEPs and LNPs for the purposes of section 33A (9). Under section 33A (6) the required engagement includes consulting on joint approaches to relevant activities. | decisions setting out the approach to be taken. ii. Consultation statement | out how the Council has involved and worked with both the Dorset LEP and Dorset Local Nature Partnership. |
| 6. Is baseline information being collected and evidence being gathered to keep the matters which affect the development of the area under review? | The Act section13 | NPPF paras 31 | | i. Documents dealing with collection of baseline information ii. Relevant technical studies iii. The annual monitoring report | Yes, the evidence base underpinning the plan and baseline evidence being collected is reviewed within each of the supporting chapter background evidence papers, whilst the latest monitoring information is updated annually. |
| 7. Is baseline information being collected and evidence being gathered to set the framework for the | The Act section19(5) | NPPF paras 31 Strategic Environmental Assessment Guide, chapter 5 | | Sustainability report scoping document Sustainability appraisal report | For the Local Plan's preparation: The SA Scoping Report, Local Plan Partial Review, September 2013 Accompanying SA reports to each stage of consultation. The SA Pre-submission Draft report, October |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| sustainability appraisal? 8. Have you consulted the statutory environment consultation bodies for five weeks on the scope and level of detail of the environmental information to be included in the sustainability appraisal report? | Regulations 9 and 13 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633. | NPPF para 32 SEA Guide chapter 3 | The Strategic Environmental Assessment consultation bodies are also amongst the 'specific consultation bodies' which are defined in Regulation 2). | Copies of the consultation letters sent to and any responses from the bodies | 2018. January 2019, SA Report minor update. Yes – the Council consulted the statutory consultation bodies as required by the Regulations for the original SA Scoping Report and subsequent revisions during the progression of the Local Plan. The Council also consulted more widely with other organisations that have social, environmental, or economic responsibilities and were likely to be concerned by the effects of any new Plan preparation. This included neighbouring authorities and the specific consultation bodies as set out in the 2004 and 2012 Regulations. Comments received were duly considered and incorporated into the Reports. |

Stage two: Plan preparation - frontloading phase

Information assembled during this phase contributes to:

- showing that the procedures have been complied with
- demonstrating cooperation with statutory cooperation bodies
- developing alternatives and options and appraising them through sustainability appraisal and against evidence.

The council should record actions taken during this phase as they will be needed to show that the plan meets the legal requirements. They will also show that a realistic and reasonable approach has been taken to plan preparation.

Stage two: Plan preparation

| Activity | Legal | Guidance | Additional | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|---|
| | requirement | reference | notes | | |
| 1. Have you notified: | Regulation | NPPF paras 16, | Specific and | i. Consultation | Yes – to each stage, the Specific and |
| the specific | 18(1) and | 25 | general | statement | General consultation bodes were consulted |
| consultation | (2)(a) (b) | | consultation | ii. Copies of | according to Regulation 18 and the Council's |
| bodies? | | | bodies are | documents | relevant SCI in force at point the draft of the |
| the general | | | defined in | consulting these | Plan was published. |
| consultation | | | Regulation 2. | bodies | |
| bodies that have | | | | iii. Record of | Detail is provided within with the consultation |
| an interest in the | | | | discussions | reports at each stage and the regulation 22 |
| subject of the | | | | iv. Copies of | Consultation Statement. |
| DPD and invited | | | | representations | |
| them to make | | | | made | |
| representations | | | | v. A brief statement | |
| about its | | | | setting out the | |
| contents? | | | | reasons why any | |
| | | | | bodies have been | |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Poss | sible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------|------------------|---|
| | | | | 0 | mitted from or | |
| | | | | in | ncluded in | |
| | | | | C | onsultation | |
| 2. Are you inviting | Regulation | NPPF para 16 | | _ | Consultation | Yes – as detailed above. |
| representations from | 18(1) and | | | | tatement | |
| people resident or | (2)(c) | | | | Copies of | These are further summarised in the |
| carrying out business | | | | _ | locuments | Council's formal Consultation Statement. |
| in your area about | | | | | onsulting these | |
| the content of the | | | | | ersons | |
| DPD? | | | | | Record of | |
| | | | | _ | liscussions | |
| | | | | | Copies of | |
| | | | | | epresentations | |
| | | | | | nade | |
| 3. Are you engaging | Regulation 18 | NPPF para 16 | NPPF para 25 | _ | Consultation | Yes, as detailed above, the consultation |
| with stakeholders | | | gives examples | | tatement | reports to each stage of the Plan detail each |
| responsible for | | | of relevant | | Copies of | consultation and are summarised in the |
| delivery of the | | | bodies which | _ | locuments | formal Consultation Statement. |
| strategy? | | | should be | | consulting these | la anno continuo ef the IDD the Council has |
| | | | consulted. | - | people | In preparation of the IDP the Council has |
| | | | | | Record of | directly engaged with stakeholders |
| | | | | a | liscussions | responsible for aspects of infrastructure |
| | | | | | | delivery. |
| | | | | | | Through frequent undates to the CULAA |
| | | | | | | Through frequent updates to the SHLAA, contact has been maintained with |
| | | | | | | landowners, agents and developers regarding |
| | | | | | | future intentions for sites. This has helped to |
| | | | | | | inform the future inclusion of sites and |
| | | | | | | whether they are likely to come forward |
| | | | | | | whether they are likely to come forward |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | during the short, medium and long term. |
| 4. Are you taking into account representations made? | Regulation 18(3) | NPPF para 15 and 25-27 | Evidence from participation is part of the justification. Show how you have taken representations into account. | i. Consultation statementii. Any reports on the selection of alternatives and options for the DPD | Yes – as detailed above. These are further summarised in the Council's formal Consultation Statement. |
| 5. Does the consultation contribute to the development and sustainability appraisal of alternatives? | The Act section19(5) Regulations 12 and 13 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633 | NPPF paras 32 and 35 SEA Guide, chapter 3 | | i. Consultation statement ii. Any reports on the selection of alternatives and options for the DPD iii. Sustainability appraisal report | Yes, as the engagement undertaken has included publication of SA scoping report and SA reports with each stage with feedback received to the SA considered and reviewed. The specific feedback received to local plan consultation has informed the approach of the plan and options considered and appraised through SA. |
| 6. Is the participation: following the principles set out in your SCI? integrating involvement with the sustainable community strategy? | The Act section19(3) | NPPF para 16 | | i. Consultation Statement ii. The SCI iii. The relevant sustainable community strategies | Yes – the consultation reports accompanying each consultation stage and summarised within the Council's formal Consultation Statement demonstrates that the participation was aligned to the principles of the SCI and was proportionate to the particular stage of the plan at that point. At each stage, the Council has widely advertised consultation. The range of measures applied through |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| proportionate to the scale of issues involved in the DPD? | | | | | development of the plan as a whole include: Contacting people on the Consultee Database Using the Council's website Press releases and articles in the Council's own publications Holding exhibitions and drop in events |
| 7. Are you keeping a record of: the individuals or bodies invited to make representations? how this was done? the main issues raised? | The Act section20(3) Regulation 17 | NPPF para 16 and 35 | You will need to submit a statement of representations under Regulation 22 (1) (c): see Submission stage below. Regulation 35 deals with the availability of documents and the time of their removal. | i. Consultation statement ii. Reports by the council on the consultation iii. Copies of representations and relevant correspondence iv. Technical reports on the engagement process | Yes - The Council has developed and maintained a consultee database. Individuals received advanced notification of the future development plan stages (either by email or post). As set out above, detailed reports of the consultation specifically set out how each draft of the Plan was consulted upon and summarises the responses received. This is further set out in the Council's formal Consultation Statement. Whilst all comments are individually logged and assigned a unique reference these have been: Reported through and considered by the Council's formal committee processes Used to inform the next iteration of the Plan Published giving respondent feedback to |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|---|---|------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | the comments they have made. |
| 8. Are you inviting representations on issues that would have significant impacts on both your areas from another local planning authority? Or county issues from an affected county council that is not a planning authority? Or significant crossboundary issues and strategic priorities of a body prescribed under Section 33A(1)(c)? | The Act section 33A(1)(a) (b) and (c), section 33A(3)(d) & (e) section 33A(4) section 33A(9) The Act section 20 (5)(c) | NPPF paras 24 to 27 | Section 33A(3)(d) and (e) requires cooperation on significant cross-boundary issues before and during plan preparation. Section 33A (2) requires you to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis. | i. Consultation statement ii. Reports by the council on the consultation iii. Copies of representations and relevant correspondence iv. Technical reports on the engagement process | Yes – the adjoining local planning authorities have been consulted at every stage of the Plan's preparation. The Duty to Cooperate Statement contains more detail as to how this Council has worked with neighbouring authorities and the other authorities within the region to address strategic and cross boundary issues. |
| 9. Are you inviting representations on cross-boundary issues and strategic priorities from a local enterprise partnership (LEP) or a local nature partnership (LNP)? | The Act section 33A(1)(c) and Section 33A(9). The Act section 20(5) (c). Regulation 4 | NPPF paras 24 to 27 | Section 33A(3)(d) and (e) requires cooperation on significant cross-boundary issues before and during plan preparation. Section 33A (2) | i. Consultation statement ii. Reports by the council on the consultation iii. Copies of representations and relevant correspondence iv. Technical reports on the | Yes – The Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership (DLEP) involvement is detailed in the Duty to Cooperate Statement. The DLEP has been directly consulted as part of the formal consultation stages. The Local Nature Partnership has also been consulted as part of the formal stages of consultation (which again is given more detail within the Duty to Cooperate Statement). |

| Activity | Legal | Guidance | Additional | Po | ssible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|---|
| | requirement | reference | notes | | | |
| | | | requires you to | | engagement | |
| | | | engage | | process | |
| | | | constructively, | | | |
| | | | actively and on | | | |
| | | | an ongoing | | | |
| | | | basis. | | | |
| 10. Are you developing | The Act | NPPF para 31 | It is a matter | i. | Sustainability | Yes – as detailed in Annex 1 (to the |
| a framework for | section 35 | SEA Guide, | for each | | appraisal report | Soundness Checklist), Policy IM1 addresses |
| monitoring the | | Chapter 5 | council to | ii. | The authority | implementation and monitoring. The |
| effects of the DPD? | Regulation 34 | | decide what to | | monitoring report | corresponding Monitoring Framework sets out |
| | | | include in their | iii. | Reports or | each policy within the schedule and cross |
| | Regulation 17 | | monitoring | | documents setting | references every policy to the Plan's structure |
| | of The | | reports while | | out the appraisal | based around each objective theme. It is |
| | Environmental | | ensuring they | | and monitoring | considered there are no gaps in policies in |
| | Assessment | | are prepared in | | framework | this regard and that they are internally |
| | of Plans and | | accordance | | | consistent as demonstrated by the SA Report |
| | Programmes | | with relevant | | | which has considered the cumulative impact |
| | Regulations | | UK and EU | | | of policies and how they relate. |
| | 2004 No1363 | | legislation" | | | |
| | | | Chief Planning | | | The Authority Monitoring Report will be the |
| | | | Officer letter 30 | | | mechanism through which delivery is |
| | | | March 2011 | | | assessed and triggers for action identified. |
| | | | withdrawing | | | The AMR also be the process through which |
| | | | ODPM | | | any significant effects on the SA Objectives |
| | | | guidance. | | | will be monitored. |

Stage three: Plan preparation - formulation phase

This stage has many legal matters, for process and content, to address. The council should be beginning to formulate the preferred strategy for the local plan or supplementary planning document with which the council chooses to address Regulation 18 requirements, using the information gathered and previous collaborative work with stakeholders.

Para 35 of the NPPF makes it clear that explicit consideration of alternatives is a key part of the plan making process.

You should evaluate the reasonable alternatives identified in 'stage two: frontloading phase – plan preparation phase against the:

- completed body of information from evidence gathering
- results of sustainability appraisal
- findings from community participation
- findings from engagement with statutory cooperation bodies.

This may be written up as a preferred strategy report. The results of participation on the preferred strategy and an accompanying sustainability report will enable the council to gauge the community's response and receive additional evidence about the options. The council can then decide whether, and how, the preferred strategy and policies should be changed for publishing the finished DPD.

Alternatives developed from the evidence and engagement during the frontloading stage need to be appraised to decide on the preferred strategy. Participation will also need to be carried out on it.

These matters need to be considered, and dealt with, in good time, and not left until publication. Supporting documents will assist in providing evidence that decisions on alternatives and strategy are soundly based. These documents will, in due course, become part of the proposed submission documents in stage four.

The council should tell all parties that this is the main participation opportunity on the emerging plan. The publication stage is a formal opportunity for anyone to comment on an aspect of the DPD's soundness, and to propose a change to the plan accordingly. The more effectively this message is put across, the lower the chance of late changes being brought forward following publication.

Stage three: Plan preparation – writing the plan

| Activity | Statutory | Guidance | Additional | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are you preparing reasonable alternatives for evaluation during the preparation of the DPD? | Regulation 12 (2) of The Environment al Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No. 1633 | reference NPPF para— 35 SEA Guide, Chapter 5 | The sustainability appraisal report and supporting documents relevant to the preparation of the DPD are part of the proposed submission documents (see Regulation 17). | Documents supporting decisions on alternatives and any preferred strategy | Yes, preferred alternative options have formed part of the process throughout plan preparation. The Issues and Options and Options consultation both reflected upon alternative options. The New homes for Purbeck consultation specifically set out several alternative options to addressing the overall strategy for delivery of new homes. The individual chapter background papers provide a narrative of the alternatives considered and outline the approach that is then taken forward in the Local Plan. |
| 2. Have you assessed alternatives against:consistency with national policy? | The Act section19 (2), section 24 | NPPF para 35 | For London boroughs and local authorities where regional strategies are | i. Supporting documentsii. Correspondence with Mayor of London (London Boroughs and | Alternatives for all policies and proposals have been assessed against the relevant objectives within the adopted SA Scoping Report at the time the SA was formally undertaken for that draft of the Plan. |

| Ac | tivity | Statutory requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|----|---|--|------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | still in force general conformity is tested formally later but you need to consider it during preparation of the DPD. | Mayoral Development Corporations only) | These SA objectives have been informed by the consideration of national policy. Furthermore, all policies have been assessed in terms of their compatibility with national policy. |
| 3. | Are you co- operating with other local planning authorities including counties, to address significant cross boundary issues? Have you discussed doing joint local development documents? | The Act section 33A(2)(a) Section 33A(6)(a)(b) Section 20(5) (c) | NPPF paras 24 to 27 | | i. Supporting documents ii. Correspondence with LPA/County Council | Yes – the Duty to Cooperate Statement outlines how the Council has and continues to cooperate on range of issues. Work is progressing on development of a joint Gypsy and Traveller DPD. |
| 4. | Are you cooperating with a person prescribed for the purposes of Regulation 33A(1)(c) to | The Act section 33A(2)(a), section 33A(6)(a) | NPPF paras 24 to 27 | The bodies prescribed by The Act section 33A(1)(c) are set out at | i. Supporting documentsii. Correspondence with prescribed bodies | Yes - the Duty to Cooperate Statement outlines how the Council has and continues to cooperate on range of issues with other prescribed bodies and key agencies. |

| Activity | | Statutory requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| cross b issues prepari approa | | The Act section 20 (5) (c) Regulation 4 | NDDE para 24 | Regulation 4 (1). | i. Cupporting | Voc. the Duty to Cooperate Statement |
| having the act LEP ar | rating with regard to sivities of the nd LNP? | The Act section 33A(2)(b) and section 33A(9). Regulation 4 (2) | NPPF para 24 to 27 | | Supporting documents ii. Correspondence with LEP/LNP | Yes - the Duty to Cooperate Statement outlines how the Council has and continues to cooperate on range of issues with both the Dorset LEP and Local Nature Partnership. |
| cor stra oth who cor of t the • any dev doo add | to: | The Act section19(2) | | | i. Supporting documents ii. The sustainable community strategies iii. Relevant local development documents iv. Correspondence with the local strategic partners | Whilst there is no longer a requirement to prepare a sustainable community strategy, the development of the Local Plan is aligned to the Council's objectives and priorities of Purbeck's Corporate Plan. |
| , | u have regard er matters | The Act section19(2) | | As well as the matters and | i. Supporting documents | The Local Plan has had regard to a number of matters and strategies and wherever possible |

| Activity | Statutory requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| and relevant strategies relating to: • resources • the local/regional economy • the local transport plan and transport facilities and services • waste strategies • hazardous substances | Regulation 10 | | strategies listed in the Act and Regulations there are likely to be other matters identified in planning policy statements, regional and local strategies that you will need to have regard to in preparing the DPD. | ii. Correspondence with the relevant bodies | these are referenced directly within the Plan (as appropriate) or within the evidence that may have been prepared to inform the respective policies and /or proposals. Transport, economy water resources and waste are addressed through the Duty to Cooperate Statement including how the Plan relates to the Dorset Local Transport Plan. |
| 8. Are you having regard to the need to include policies on mitigating and adapting to climate change? | The Act section19(1A) | NPPF paras 149 to 154 | | Supporting documents | Yes – as detailed within the Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist, delivering a sustainable future for Dorset that is well placed to mitigate climate change sits at the heart of the Plan's strategy. The Environment Background Paper specifically addresses the evidence strategy and response of the local plan to climate change. |
| Have you undertaken the sustainability | The Act section19(5) | NPPF para 32 SEA Guide, | Regulation13 of The Environmental | i. Reports on the sustainability of alternatives | Yes – as detailed above SA reports have been prepared and consulted upon which have been integrated into the preparation of the |

| Activity | Statutory requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|---|--|------------------------|---|---|--|
| appraisal of alternatives, including consultation on the sustainability appraisal report? | Regulation 12 and 13 of The Environment al Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633 | Chapter 5 | Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633 sets out the consultation procedures. | ii. Record of work undertaken on sustainability appraisal iii. Supporting documents | alternatives for the Plan. |
| 10. Are you setting out reasons for any preferences between alternatives? | Regulation 8(2) | NPPF para 32 and 35 | This will include Information from the sustainability appraisal. | i. Any reports setting out alternatives and choices considered ii. Supporting documents | Yes – preferences for a certain direction above have been set out and considered through each Consultation Report and the regulation 22 Consultation Statement and have been considered through each Background Paper. |
| 11. Have you taken into account any representations made on the content of the DPD and the sustainability appraisal? Are you keeping a record? | Regulations 17, 18(3) and 22 (1) (c) (iv) Regulation 13(4) of The Environment al Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations | NPPF paras 16 and 32 | Records on the sustainability appraisal should also include recording any assessment made under the Habitats Directive. | i. Correspondence from those making representations ii. Reports on issues raised iii. Consultation statement iv. Sustainability appraisal report | Yes – as stated above, all responses have been logged into the Local Plan Consultation Portal which includes responses received to the SA Reports and stages of plan preparation. These have been: Reported through and considered by the Council's formal committee processes Used to inform the next iteration of the Plan Published giving respondents the feedback to the comments they have made. |

| Activity | Statutory requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Ро | ssible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------|----------------------------------|---|
| | 2004 No | | | | | These are fully reported in the Council's |
| | 1633 | | | | | formal Consultation Statement which further |
| | | | | | | sets out how representations have been taken account of. |
| 12. Where sites are to | Regulations | NPPF para 20 | Regulation 2 | i. | Adopted policies | Yes - from the outset, the Council has sought |
| be identified or | 5 (1)(b) and 9 | to 23 | defines the | | map | to use illustrative material to inform |
| areas for the | | | terms | ii. | Any reports on | communities and stakeholders about the |
| application of policy | | | 'submission' | | proposals to | location of new development. |
| in the DPD, are you | | | and 'adopted' | | amend the | |
| preparing sufficient | | | proposals | l | policies map | Inset maps have been included to provide |
| illustrative material | | | map. | iii. | Illustrative material | further clarity particularly to small scale policy |
| to: | | | A | | that shows how | delineations. |
| enable you to | | | A map | | the policies map will be amended | Charifia manning for each bouning allegation |
| amend the | | | showing changes to the | | or replaced | Specific mapping for each housing allocation has been included within the plan itself, whilst |
| currently | | | adopted | | or replaced | the Key Diagram is also included within the |
| adopted policies map? | | | policies map is | | | PSD. |
| • inform the | | | part of the | | | TOD. |
| community | | | proposed | | | The SHLAA and green belt papers include |
| about the | | | submission | | | mapping and illustrative material of each of the |
| location of | | | documents | | | sites and locations considered. |
| proposals? | | | defined in | | | |
| ' ' | | | Regulation 17. | | | |
| 13. Are the | The Act, | NPPF paras 16 | | i. | The SCI | Yes – the arrangements for the consultations |
| participation | section 19(3) | and 25 | | ii. | Consultation | were in full compliance with the SCI and the |
| arrangements | | | | | statement | following consultation reports were prepared |
| compliant with the | Regulation | | | | | against the SCI in force at the time of the |
| SCI? | 18 | | | | | consultation undertaken. |
| | | | | | | Further detail is set out in the Council's formal |

| Activity | Statutory requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | Consultation Statement. |

Stage four: Publication

Under Regulation 20, the period for formal representations takes place **before** the DPD is submitted for examination in accordance with a timetable set out in the statement of the representations procedure which is made available at the council's office and published on its website.

When moving towards publication stage, the council should consider the results of participation on the preferred strategy and sustainability appraisal report and decide whether to make any change to the preferred strategy. In the event that changes are required, the council will need to choose either to:

- do so and progress directly to publication; OR
- produce and consult on a revised preferred strategy.

The latter may be appropriate where the changes to the DPD bring in changed policy or proposals not previously covered in community participation and the sustainability appraisal. It avoids having to treat publication as if it were a consultation, which it is not. It also provides insurance in relation to compliance with the Strategic Environmental Assessment Regulations. Legally, during any participation on a revised preferred strategy, you should:

- comply with the requirements of the SCI; and
- update the sustainability appraisal report.

The council should then produce the DPD in the form in which it will be published. This includes removing material dealing with the evaluation of alternatives and the finalisation of the text. The council should be happy to adopt the DPD in this form, and satisfied that it is sound and fit for examination.

The six weeks publication period is the opportunity for those dissatisfied (or satisfied) with the DPD to make formal representations to the inspector about its soundness. Only people proposing a change to the plan can expect to be heard at examination.

The possibility of change under certain circumstances (which should be exceptional) is allowed for in the new procedures and is described in 'stage five: submission'.

Stage four: Publication

| Activity | Statutory | Guidance | Additional | Possible evidence | Purbeck Evidence Provided |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | requirement | reference | notes | | |
| 1. Have you prepared | The Act | NPPF paras 32 | | Sustainability appraisal | Yes – the SA Report was published alongside the |
| the sustainability | section19(5) | | | report | Local Plan Pre-Submission Draft in October 2018. |
| appraisal report? | | SEA Guide | | | |
| | Regulation 12 of | Chapter 5 | | | |
| | the | | | | |
| | Environmental | | | | |
| | Assessment of | | | | |
| | Plans and | | | | |
| | Programmes | | | | |
| | Regulations | | | | |
| | 2004 No 1633 | | | | |
| 2. Have you made clear | Regulation 17, | | The period must | i. Report or record of | Yes – reports were presented to and endorsed by |
| where and within what | 19, 20 and 35 | | not be less than | decisions | Policy Group and Full Council meetings (17 th |
| period representations | | | 6 weeks from | ii. The statement of | September and 9 th October 2018) seeking |
| must be made? | | | when you | community interest | agreement to publish the Local Plan for a 6 week |
| | | | publish under | | period to enable representations to be made. |

| Activity | Statutory | Guidance | Additional | Po | ssible evidence | Purbeck Evidence Provided |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----|---------------------|--|
| | requirement | reference | notes | | | |
| | | | Regulations 19 | | | |
| | | | and 35 (see | | | In summary, notification of the Plan's publication |
| | | | below). | | | commenced in October 2018 - details of the |
| | | | | | | representations period and publication |
| | | | | | | arrangements were made clear in targeted |
| | | | | | | notification letters and emails to consultees on the |
| | | | | | | Local Plan database, via the Council website |
| | | | | | | (Twitter Feed and Facebook page), via a press |
| | | | | | | release and in an article within the Council's |
| | | | | | | magazine distributed to every household in |
| | | | | | | Purbeck. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | All documentation expressly set out where the Plan |
| | | | | | | and its supporting documents could be viewed, how |
| | | | | | | comments could be submitted and the timescales |
| | | | | | | by which representations must be submitted. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Full detail of how the PSD was consulted upon is |
| | | | | | | set out in the Council's formal Consultation |
| | | | | | | Statement. |
| 3. Have you made | Regulation 19(a) | | Regulation 17 | i. | Copies of the | Yes – the notice included the statement of |
| copies of the following | | | gives definitions. | | relevant statements | representations procedure which was further posted |
| available for | | | | ii. | Report on where | on Council's webpages. |
| inspection | | | | | and when made | |
| the proposed | | | | | available | The PSD and supporting documents were |

| Activity | Statutory | Guidance | Additional | Possible evidence | Purbeck Evidence Provided |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| | requirement | reference | notes | | |
| submission | | | | iii. Record of where | published electronically on the Council's website. |
| documents? | | | | and when made | |
| the statement of | | | | available | Hard copies of the Local Plan, representation forms |
| the representations | | | | | (and guidance note for completion), policies maps, |
| procedure? | | | | | SA Reports and Habitats Regulations Assessment |
| | | | | | (together with copies of the 2015 response |
| | | | | | schedules were made available at 9 public libraries |
| | | | | | Purbeck District Council's offices at Wareham, |
| | | | | | Swanage Town Council, Wareham Town Council |
| | | | | | and Upton Town Council. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Full detail of how the Local Plan was consulted |
| | | | | | upon is set out in the Council's formal Consultation |
| | | | | | Statement. |
| 4. Have you published | Regulations 19 | | Regulations 2 | Record of publication | Yes – all documents were made available on the |
| on your website: | and 35 | | and 17 give | | Council's website |
| the proposed | | | definitions. | | https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning-buildings- |
| submission | | | | | land/planning-policy/purbeck/local-plan-review- |
| documents? | | | | | purbeck/local-plan-review-purbeck.aspx |
| the statement of | | | | | and linked to the Local Plan's dedicated |
| the representations | | | | | consultation portal https://purbeck- |
| procedure? | | | | | consult.objective.co.uk/portal/ |
| statement and | | | | | |
| details of where | | | | | Full detail of how the Local Plan was consulted |
| and when | | | | | upon is set out in the Council's formal Consultation |

| Activity | Statutory | Guidance | Additional | Possible evidence | Purbeck Evidence Provided |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| | requirement | reference | notes | | |
| documents can be | | | | | Statement. |
| inspected? | | | | | |
| 5. Have you sent to each | Regulation 19(b) | | Regulations 2 | i. Copies of | Yes – letters or emails were sent to all consultees |
| of the specific | | | and 17 give | correspondence | (including the specific and general bodies) that |
| consultation bodies | | | definitions. | ii. Record of sending | clearly identified where the documents could be |
| invited to make | | | | | inspected (including on-line) and how and when |
| representations under | | | | | representations could be submitted. |
| Regulation 18(1): | | | | | |
| A copy of each of | | | | | Full detail of how the Local Plan was consulted |
| the proposed | | | | | upon is set out in the Council's formal Consultation |
| submission | | | | | Statement. |
| documents | | | | | |
| The statement of | | | | | |
| the representations | | | | | |
| procedure? | | | | | |
| 6. Have you sent to each | Regulation 19(b) | | Regulations 2 | i. Copies of | Yes – letters/emails were sent to all consultees |
| of the general | | | and 17 give | correspondence | (including the specific and general bodies) that |
| consultation bodies | | | definitions. | ii. Record of sending | clearly identified where the documents could be |
| invited to make | | | | | inspected (including on-line) and how and when |
| representations under | | | | | representations could be submitted. |
| Regulation 18(1): | | | | | |
| the statement of | | | | | Full detail of how the Local Plan was consulted |
| the representations | | | | | upon is set out in the Council's formal Consultation |
| procedure? | | | | | Statement. |
| where and when | | | | | |

| Activity | Statutory | Guidance | Additional | Possible evidence | Purbeck Evidence Provided |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | requirement | reference | notes | | |
| the documents can | | | | | |
| be inspected? | | | | | |
| 7. Have you requested | The Act section | | The request | Copies of | Not applicable. |
| the opinion of the | 24 | | must be made | correspondence | |
| Mayor of London (if a | | | on the day you | | |
| London Borough or | Regulation 21 | | publish the | | |
| Mayoral DC) on the | | | documents | | |
| general conformity of | | | under Reg19(a) | | |
| the DPD spatial | | | & a response | | |
| development | | | must be made | | |
| strategy? | | | within six weeks | | |
| | | | from the request | | |
| | | | (Regulation 21). | | |

Stage five: Submission

At the submission stage, the council should receive and collate any representations made at publication stage. You don't have to report these representations to councillors but there may be requirements deriving from other legislation, Standing Orders or council procedures that must be considered. Or you might just think it is a good idea to report on it anyway.

If they are reported it should be on the facts of the representations made, not the results of a consultation process by the council. They should not be treated as a consultation or an opportunity to make changes or answer representations. NB: under the 2012 Regulations there is no longer any requirement to give notice by local advertisement.

You should ensure you are in legal compliance with the SCI, the Habitats Directive and the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive in any additional work. Any formal publication of additional or changed matters would need to allow at least a six-week period for representations to be made.

There are different approaches that could be taken to changes. You should be satisfied that you remain fully compliant with the legal requirements if any changes are made (and any consequential effects on the DPD as a whole).

Apart from notification of the examination, this tool does not deal with the legal requirements that need to be followed after submission.

Stage five: Submission

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Ро | ssible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|
| 1. Has the DPD been prepared in accordance with the LDS? Does the DPD's listing and description in the LDS match the document? Have the timescales set out in the LDS been met? | The Act section 19(1) | | The Act section 15(2) sets out the matters specified in the LDS. As at January 2013, no further matters are prescribed in the Regulations. | i. ii. iii. | The LDS Explanation of any changes from the milestones set out in the LDS Relevant authority monitoring reports | Yes - the Local Plan has been prepared in accordance with the LDS 2018 and its listing and description matches the document. The formal submission has been brought forward slightly from programmed February 2019 to late January 2019. Consultation on the publication draft took place as programmed from 22nd October to 3rd December 2018 and as such the Council do not consider any issues arise from this accelerated programme post consultation. |
| 2. Has the DPD had regard to any sustainable community strategy for its area (like a county and district)? | The Act section 19(2) | NPPF para 35 | | i. ii. | The sustainable community strategy(ies) Reference to sections of the DPD showing how regard has been had to them | As above, there is no longer a requirement to prepare a sustainable community strategy, the Council adopted its own Purbeck Corporate Plan to which the Plan has had regard. |
| 3. Is the DPD in compliance with the SCI (where one exists)? Has the council carried out consultation as described in the SCI? | The Act section 19(3) Regulation 22(1)(c) | | Before the SCI is formally amended to take into account the changes in the regulations, you may need to set out how the community engagement that you carried out | i. ii. | The SCI The Regulation 22(1)(c) statement | Yes – all consultation undertaken up to the submission stage has been carried out in accordance with the relevant SCI in place at the time of publishing the relevant documents. Prior to formal submission, the Council has identified a small number of suggested Modifications to the Plan – since none of these are considered raise fundamental soundness issues the Council does not consider that an additional consultation stage is therefore necessary. |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | met the | | |
| | | | regulations (as | | |
| 4 | The Act | NPPF | amended). Under NPPF para | Statement identifying | Veg. the Council has identified the traviagues that will have an |
| 4. Have you identified | section | paras 24 to | 182, the plan | any strategic cross- | Yes – the Council has identified the key issues that will have an impact on at least two planning areas. |
| and addressed any | 33A(1) and | 27 | should be based | boundary issues or | impact on at least two planning areas. |
| issues which are likely to have a | section 20(5) | 21 | on effective joint | impacts on county | The Council's Duty to Cooperate Statement and Statement of |
| significant impact | 30000011 20(0) | | working on cross- | matters addressed in | Common Ground has been produced to demonstrate the approaches |
| on at least two | | | boundary | the document and | taken to cooperate with the relevant bodies. |
| planning areas. In | | | strategic priorities | explaining the | |
| doing so, have you | | | to be found | approach taken to co- | |
| co-operated with | | | 'Effective'. | operate with the | |
| other local | | | | relevant bodies. | |
| planning | | | | Supporting | |
| authorities, county | | | | correspondence and | |
| councils where | | | | reports e.g. | |
| they are not a | | | | Memorandum of | |
| planning authority, | | | | Understanding, shared | |
| LEPs, LNPs and | | | | and agreed evidence | |
| the prescribed | | | | | |
| bodies in | | | | | |
| identifying and | | | | | |
| addressing any | | | | | |
| strategic cross- | | | | | |
| boundary issues If you have not | | | | | |
| agreed on the | | | | | |
| approach is there a | | | | | |
| justification? | | | | | |
| 5. Has the DPD been | The Act | NPPF para | | Sustainability appraisal | Yes, the submitted plan includes no changes not previously assessed |
| subject to | section 19(5) | 32 | | report | within the SA published to accompany the Pre-submission Local |
| sustainability | | | | | Plan. A minor update to the SA report itself has been made for |
| appraisal? | Regulation | SEA | | | submission clarifying the matters considered in identifying significant |
| | 22(1)(a) | Practical | | | effects for development proposed at Moreton Station/Redbridge Pit. |

| Guide, chapter 5 | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| • | | | |
| 35 | | i. Correspondence with PINS? ii. PAS Soundness self assessment checklist | Yes – the Council considers this Local Plan is consistent with national policy. As Annex 1 to this Supporting Statement demonstrates, completion of the Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist further concludes consistency with national policy. |
| NPPF para 35 | Requirements relating to publication of the prescribed documents are listed later in this table. | i. The documents prescribed at Regulation 22(1) ii. Relevant authority monitoring reports iii. Records of the actions undertaken (see below) | Yes – these documents have been prepared and collated and will be submitted to the Secretary of State together with the draft Purbeck Local Plan on 28th January 2019. These documents are publically available from the Council's webpages and will also be contained within the Examination Library. |
| _ | NPPF para | NPPF para 35 Requirements relating to publication of the prescribed documents are listed later in this | ii. PAS Soundness self assessment checklist NPPF para 35 Requirements relating to publication of the prescribed documents are listed later in this table. ii. The documents prescribed at Regulation 22(1) ii. Relevant authority monitoring reports iii. Records of the actions undertaken |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Ро | ssible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|---|--|--------------------|---|-----------|--|---|
| 8. Are there any policies applying to sites or areas by reference to an Ordnance Survey map or to amend an adopted policies map? | Regulations 5(1) (b), 9 (1), 17 & 22(1) | | | i. ii. | Submission policies map Brief statement if a submission policies map is not required | Yes – a number of policies across the scope of this Local Plan make direct reference to Ordnance Survey data. Yes – a submission full Policies Map has been prepared to support the Local Plan, referencing every policy which has a spatial component including designation of the green belt and site allocations for a range of land-uses such as residential and economic development. |
| If yes, have you prepared a submission policies map? | | | | | | |
| 9. Is the DPD consistent with any other adopted DPDs for the area? If the DPD is intended to supersede any adopted development plan policies, does it state that fact and identify the superseded policies? | Regulation 8(3) and (4) Regulation 8(5) | | Development Plan is defined in Section 38 of the Act. | i. ii. | The core strategy Documents or reports demonstrating conformity | This plan would not form part of a specific suite of documents but would operate in parallel with existing policy such as the Swanage Local Plan and Dorset Waste and Minerals Local Plan. The overall policy approach and framework provides a coherent planning framework for the area, as set out at paragraph 3 of the plan. |
| 10. Have you prepared a statement setting out: | The Act section 20 (3) | | This will bring forward material from the Consultation statement (see | i. ii. | Consultation statement The Statement as required in Regulation | Yes - as detailed previously, at the close of each formal stage of consultation (up to Regulation 18), the Council has produced consultation reports setting out who was consulted, how and the headline results. |
| Which bodies and persons | 22(1)(c) | | Stage 2 above). | | 22(1)(c) | In compliance with Regulation 22(1)(c), the these are further |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|--|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| were invited to make representations under Regulation 18? • How they were invited? • A summary of the main issues raised? • How the representations have been taken into account? | | | | | discussed within the Council's formal Consultation Statement. |
| 11. Have you prepared a statement giving: • the number of representations made under Regulation 22? • a summary of the main issues raised? OR • that no representations were made? | The Act section 20(3) Regulation 22 (1) (c) | | | The Statement as required in Regulation 22(1)(c) | Yes – the Council has prepared a Consultation Statement in accordance with Regulation 22(1)(c). This details the number and summary of those representations made under Regulation 22 and summaries to the main issues raised. |
| 12. Have you collected together all the representations | The Act section 20(3) | | | Copies of the representations | Yes – the representations submitted under Regulation 20 have all been entered onto the Council's e-consultation portal and can be viewed online. |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| made under Regulation 20? 13. Have you assembled the relevant supporting documents? 14. Has your council approved the DPD for submission? | 22 (1) (e) The Act section 20(3) Regulation 22(1)(g) | | Check the LPA's constitution /standing orders for the authorisation process appropriate for the type of DPD. | All necessary evidence and records of decisions relevant to the DPD Report and resolution of the appropriate council body | Yes – all necessary evidence and supporting information which comprise the Local Plan evidence base have been assembled and at the point of submission made available to view on the Council's website. The full examination library of supporting documents and evidence base has been submitted in support of the local plan. Yes – at the Full Council meeting on 9th October 2018 it was resolved that Council approve the following recommendations: *Recommendation* 3.1 The Pre-submission Purbeck Local Plan in Appendix 1 be approved for publication for a statutory 6 week period for comments from 22nd October to 3rd December 2018. 3.2 The General Manager Planning and Community Services, in consultation with the local plan review working group, be delegated authority to make any necessary changes to the Purbeck Local Plan resulting from representations received and then submit it to the Planning Inspectorate for examination. 3.3 A supplementary estimate of £75,000 be approved and funded from reserves to cover the remaining costs of the examination in public of the Purbeck Local Plan. |
| 15. Have you sent the Secretary of State (the Planning Inspectorate) both a paper copy and an email of the following: • the DPD? | The Act section 20(1) and 20(3) Regulations 22(1) and 22(2) | | Regulation 35 deals with the availability of documents and the time of their removal. Electronic copies of some of the | i. Record of sending ii. Reasons why documents cannot be sent electronically | A printed copy of the Local Plan Submission and all documents are scheduled to be submitted electronically week commencing 28 th January 2019 |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|
| the submission policies map (unless there are no site allocation policies)? the documents prescribed in Regulation 22(1)? | | | representations and supporting documents may not be practicable. Regulation 35 deals with the availability of documents and the time of their removal. | | |
| 16. Have you made the following available at the same places where the proposed submission documents were to be seen: • The DPD? • The documents prescribed in Regulation 22(1)? | Regulation 22(3) | | You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submission. | Record of where and when made available | There is no requirement at submission stage to make hard copies of the local plan and supporting documentation available at all thre deposit points previously used during earlier stages of the plan's production. Accordingly, in addition to the examination library in Westport House Wareham, it is not intended to make hard copies available elsewhere. |
| 17. On your website, have you published the: DPD? submission policies map? sustainability appraisal | Regulation 22(3) and 35(1)(b) | | You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submission. | Record of publication | This is scheduled to be undertaken as soon as practicable following submission during w/c 28 January 2019. |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|--|---|---|
| report? Regulation 22(1)(c) statement? supporting documents (where practicable)? representation s made under Regulation 20 (where practicable)? statement as to where and when the DPD and the documents are available? | | | | | |
| 18. For each general consultation body invited to make representations under Regulation 18(1), have you sent: notification that the documents prescribed in Regulation 22(3)(a)(i)-(iii) are available for inspection | Regulation 22(3)(b) | | You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submitting to the Secretary of State. | i. Copies of correspondence ii. Record of sending | Notifications will be sent out as soon as practicable following formal submission during w/c 28 January 2019. |

| Activity | Legal requirement | Guidance reference | Additional notes | Possible evidence | Purbeck – Evidence Provided |
|--|--|--------------------|--|--|---|
| where and when they can be inspected? | | | | | |
| 19. Have you given notice to persons who have requested to be notified that submission has taken place? | Regulation 22(3)(c) | | You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submitting to the Secretary of State. | i. Copies of correspondence ii. Record of sending | Notifications will be sent out as soon as practicable following formal submission during w/c 28 January 2018. |
| 20. If an examination is being held, at least six weeks before its opening has the Programme Officer: • published the time and place of the examination and the name of the person appointed to carry out the examination on your website? • notified those who have made representations on the published DPD which have not been withdrawn of these details? | The Act section 20 Regulations 24 and 35 | | | i. Record of publication of information ii. Record of sending iii. Copies of correspondence iv. Copy of advertisement | A programme officer is in post and making preparations for the examination. Specific actions to this effect will be undertaken once the Inspector has been appointed and advised on the detailed preparations for examining the Plan. |