



Upgrade dorsetplanningpolicy

Home My Surveys Survey Services Plans & Pricing

+ Create Survey

NorthDorset Local Plan Pre-submi...

Design Survey Collect Responses Analyze Results

CURRENT VIEW

+ FILTER + COMPARE + SHOW

No rules applied

Rules allow you to FILTER, COMPARE and SHOW results to see trends and patterns. Learn more »

SAVED VIEWS (1)

Original View (No rules applied)

+ Save as...

EXPORTS

SHARED DATA

No shared data

Sharing allows you to share your survey results with others. You can share all data, a saved view, or a single question summary. Learn more »

Share All

RESPONDENTS: 33 of 33

Export All Share All

Question Summaries Data Trends Individual Responses

Respondent #25

All Pages

#25

COMPLETE

Collector: North Dorset Local Plan Part 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, January 23, 2014 7:44:55 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, January 23, 2014 8:10:26 PM
Time Spent: 00:25:31
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Edit Delete Export

PAGE 1

Q1: Personal Details

Name: Anna Pullen
Address 1: [REDACTED]
City/Town: [REDACTED]
State/Province: [REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code: [REDACTED]
Country: [REDACTED]
Email Address: [REDACTED]
Phone Number: [REDACTED]

Q2: Agent Details (if applicable) - All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 2

Q3: 1. Please select the document you are commenting on:

North Dorset Local Plan 2011 to 2026 Part 1 (please complete Questions 2 to 9)

Q4: 2. To which part of the above document does your representation relate?

Policy/site Policy chapter 17

Q5: 3. Do you consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant and prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, legal and procedural requirements?

Yes

Q6: 4. Do you consider the Local Plan to be 'sound'?

No

Q7: 5. If you consider the Local Plan to be unsound please specify your reason(s) by ticking the box(es) that apply below

It is not effective

PAGE 3

Q8: 6. Please give specific details of why you consider the Local Plan has not been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate, legal or procedural requirement or why you consider the plan to be unsound. Alternatively, if you wish to support any aspects of the plan please also use this box to set out your comments.

We bought our house in Bayfields as we were overlooking the river, so knew that no houses could be built in front of our property. We also chose this newer estate out of all the newer housing estates in Gillingham, due to the fact there were only a small number of houses - 44! We paid more to buy a house on a smaller estate, as we believed the area to be a quiet and quite safe area where traffic including emergency vehicles are still able to get through, unlike other estates we viewed in Gillingham, where the parked cars on the roadside prevent emergency vehicles from getting through.

Barnaby mead, the only access to Bayfields, is already very busy with traffic and due to parked cars, there are often near misses. There is very little space on the road to get through due to parked cars. If delivery lorries, emergency vehicles etc need to get to Bayfields and the proposed new housing, the only access through Barnaby Mead will become very congested. With the added traffic that building up to 70 houses would bring, the area would become very busy and the infrastructure and roads are not capable of handling this increased traffic flow. I believe the increase in traffic will also affect the town as well, where the Hugh Street during daylight hours is already completely congested on a regular basis.

Also, some houses in Bayfields do not have parking spaces or driveways, so they have to park on the roadside outside their houses. There is not enough room to create another road entrance which more cars will have to drive through.

Q9: 7. What change(s) do you consider are necessary to ensure that the Local Plan is legally compliant and sound? It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

*Respondent skipped this question*

Q10: 8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate in the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate in the oral examination

Q11: 9. If you wish to participate in the oral part of the examination please outline why you consider that to be necessary. Please note that the Inspector determines who is heard at the examination.

*Respondent skipped this question*

Q12: 10. Please outline your comments on the Final Sustainability Appraisal Report or Habitats Regulations Assessment. Comments are not confined to 'soundness' issues, but respondents can express their opinions on the above documents and use it as a reference point on the 'soundness' of the Local Plan.

*Respondent skipped this question*

Q13: 11. Do you wish to be notified of any of the following? Please tick all that apply. We will contact you using the details you have given above.

That the Local Plan Part 1 has been submitted for independent examination

The publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan Part 1

The adoption of the Local Plan Part 1.

**Community:** [Developers](#) • [Facebook](#) • [Twitter](#) • [LinkedIn](#) • [Our Blog](#) • [Google+](#) • [YouTube](#)

**About Us:** [Management Team](#) • [Board of Directors](#) • [Partners](#) • [Newsroom](#) • [Contact Us](#) • [Jobs](#) • [Sitemap](#) • [Help](#)

**Policies:** [Terms of Use](#) • [Privacy Policy](#) • [Anti-Spam Policy](#) • [Security Statement](#)



**Language:** [English](#) • [Español](#) • [Português](#) • [Deutsch](#) • [Nederlands](#) • [Français](#) • [Русский](#) • [Italiano](#) • [Dansk](#) • [Svenska](#) • [日本語](#) • [한국어](#) • [中文\(繁體\)](#) • [Türkçe](#) • [Norsk](#) • [Suomi](#)

Copyright © 1999-2014 SurveyMonkey