For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Personal Details*		Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Doctor	
First Name	Keith	
Last Name	Gugan	
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

1. Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on

Н	O	u	S	i	r	١	g	

	which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.
	Yes □
	No 🗵
	The blanket figure of 366 for the whole of North Dorset (even if this is correct given the almost daily expansion of the new national housing requirement) is wholly inappropriate. Each area selected for new housing must be chosen on the basis of: available work opportunities, schooling, public transport provision and facilities, convenience of access and movement for not only new residents but existing also, reliability and availability of infrastructure facilities - private transport road and parking requirements, electricity, gas, fuel oil, water, drainage, sewage, web availability to acceptable national standard, etc, etc.
	Iwerne Minster is my village, but is not untypical of many, many others. IM is not ideal in any of the above respects as you will know. It has also a dangerous national highway(A350) routed through the heart of the village and for most of its streets - including parts of the A350 - there is no pedestrian pavement nor street lighting - these are not sought after but I wanted to set the stage. Policing is non-existent, and laughable in its response to crime; few crimes seem to receive justice even that of the murder of my hairdresser in Blandford was majorly compromised by police incompetence.
	I could go on, and on, and on, as I have done in writing often. But here I confine my relevant comments to the A350 corridor and what I think can and should be done - see 29 below.
	<u>Employment</u>
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?
	Yes
	No 🗆
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?
	Yes
	No \square
	Spatial Strategy
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?
	Yes
	No

5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.			
	Yes			
	No □			
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.			
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)			
6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?			
	Yes			
	No 🗆			
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?			
	Yes			
	No \square			
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.			
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?			
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.			
	<u>Gillingham</u>			
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?			
	Yes			
	No 🗆			
10	. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?			

	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
11	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
	development at Gillingham?
	·
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Shaftesbury
	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
	Yes
	No
	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
	development at Shaftesbury?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Fieuse set out what you see us the daditional influstracture requirements.

	Sturminster Newton
15.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?
	Yes
	No \square
	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No \square
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
17.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Stalbridge Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
	Yes □

19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been

No \square

Yes □

No □

considered as part of the assessment process?

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
20.	What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	The Villages
21.	Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.
	Affordable Housing
22.	Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
	Yes
	No \square
23.	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
	Yes
	No \square
24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows

for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?

	Yes
	No 🗆
	Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing
	Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes \qed No \qed
	b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes \Box No \Box
	c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes \Box No \Box
	d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes \Box No \Box
	e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$
	f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes \qed No \qed
26.	Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
	Yes
	No
	If you have many and West along outling the other many that the Country of the Co
	If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.

Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres

27.	Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)
28.	Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	The A350 Corridor
29.	Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?
	Yes
	No 🗵
	Comments
	If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability
	I have attempted to find details of the A350 corridor and the reserved route as it affects the Blandford to
	Shaftesbury stretch and villages in between and either side. I regret to say these attempts have not been successful.
	I know the road as well as any other village resident subject to its dangers. Having thought about it now for years, it seems to me that there is really only one satisfactory solution and that is to reinstate the now disused Dorset and Somerset railway link from Poole through to Birmingham and beyond. This, coupled with Orders made under Act of Parliament requiring heavy loads to be carried by rail, would greatly reduce the present dangers and threats provided by this road. This could be done virtually immediately: 1) The track bed is already established and compacted throughout 2) It is likely that the original wayleaves for the route still exist and remain legal 3) An Act of Parliament would be required to re-establish the route 4) Compulsory purchase would be required for those properties that have encroached on the alignment 5) Sustrans would have to give up their present track possession 6) Dual track would be required for a high speed / high frequency electric service to be maintained 7) Some additional civil engineering works would be necessary and reinstatement of lost facilities 8) The priority must be for commercial / goods traffic, but interspersed with passenger services 9) It is not likely that the capital cost would be more than £1million per mile - perhaps £200m in total
	The government allocation of funds is dubious at best. No figure is mentioned nor how many 'rural bypasses' are contemplated. It seems unlikely that a bypass - bypassing all the town and villages affected.

The NDDC should step up to the plate on this and accept the challenges. Rail would provide a permanent solution to the ever expanding heavy haulage traffic with which we are blighted.

would cost as little or could be wholly funded by such scheme.

Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.			
Continue on a separate sheet if necessar	ry		
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review? Yes $\ \square$			

No \square

Signature:	Date:	January 22, 2018	
If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.			

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk