For office use only Batch number:	Received: 17/1/18
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as anonymous comments cannot be accepted. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Det it is
Title	MIS	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
First Name	HANNAH	
Last Name	LISTER	
lob Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation Where relevant		
Address		
ostcode		
el. No.		
mail Address		

NORTH DORSE LOSSE CLASS REVIEW

POR November 2017 of 25 feet and 2004.

the sponsors for the

and the second of the second of the second

on the company of the

Part A as Penns I is a marks

AND A THE AND THE AND THE SERVICE AND THE AND



Part B - Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

Housing	Н	0	u	si	n	g
----------------	---	---	---	----	---	---

1	 Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.
	Yes 🗹
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.
	Employment
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?
	Yes 🗹
	No 🗆
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?
	Yes 📈
	No 🗆
	Spatial Strategy
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?
	Yes 🗹
	No 🗆
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.
	Yes □
	No 🗆



Part B - Representations

Please shower as many quastions or as few questions as you view. There is a box at the end of the?"

	The wise syddican province and comments that your print have.
	**Hebori
	Do you consider that a nousing need figure of 355 divellags a year is an aparopriate figure on which to prando books for the providing growth in North Derset? If not, please and out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.
	다 eve
	Hoder cutsing it. I have been supplied to the production of provide the second control of the second control o
	aneary olgana
	Do you consider that additional amployment land thould be addeded for Tevelopment at. Blandford a year of the Local Plan Review?
	To appropriate the second of t
	We 🗓
E	Do you consider that there is a read to ellorate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?
	Yes L.
	Yzelsi Strategy
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set partin LPPS, should be amender to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?
	Yes 😅
	De you think that the Council should consider implementing any other sharme spatial after egy through the EPR? If so, please explain you head to by.
	Ves II
	Community and the second

	If you have answered 'Vos' places ast	
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.	
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)	
6	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?	
	res 🗹	
	No 🗆	
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?	
	Yes	
	No 🗆	
	If you have grown 100 1	
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.	1
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?	7
	Please set out what you soo as the addition to s	
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.	
	<u>Gillingham</u>	
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?	
1	Yes 🗹	
	No 🗆	
10. /	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?	
	'es □	
N	lo 🗆	

	Viyes sees are ween this prease set outgood about active could enetegy and provincies and species and provincies as supported to	
	Blandford (Forum and St. Mary)	
-3	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas or search identified at Blandfor a?	
	Yes No []	
	Are there any further issues relating to the precessor search that you thinkshould have "wen- considered as pair of the assessinant process?	
	Yes D No D	
	and OV	
	 รายการการการการการการการการการการการการการก	
	What are the additional infrastructure crowseness that are likely to result from collental future development at diaudicad?	
	Please set aut what you tec as the namit oned infrastructure reasurements.	
	Gillinghem,"	
	Bo you sgree who the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillinghams	
	No. []. Are there any further fraces relater to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?	

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential fut development at Gillingham?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
<u>Shaftesbury</u>
12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
Yes
No 🗹
13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?Yes □
No 🗆
We must counder the need to build a ving road. That is crucial.
a ring road. Mat is crucial.
14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential futur development at Shaftesbury?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
and acture requirements.

	* Sanson and all my to appropriate to the to the fact to "short fact to be seen and it is	
1.0	Wilblate the additional infrast or less than mode and the less from potential forms of the description of the components of the properties as delinginaria.	
	Phease set aut whet you see as the ada vollatinfrasis. The East energies	
	Sparitasburg	
ŞI	Poyou gree with the conclusions regarding the areas or search identified at Shafinshury? For The	
	The state of the s	
	a e i i i apy format aspos telebit e in the area aou sonech thar you think should have been Dendicated as part of the arease at provense?	
	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	
	where are the additional first true repair, went to stable from potential laters also to stable and first tend laters also that is the first tendence of tendence of the first tendence of ten	
	יון אויבין עובר אויבין אויניין אויבין אוייניין אויציין אויניין אויניין אויניין אויניין אוייניין אויניין אויניין או	

	<u>Sturminster Newton</u>
15.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?
	Yes
1	No 🗆
16.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
ſ	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
_	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future evelopment at Sturminster Newton?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements. albridge
18. Do	you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
	s
No	
19. Ard	e there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been nsidered as part of the assessment process?
Ye	s 🗆
No	
lf :	you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

Sturmoster Meytion	
5. Do you gere with the conclusions agreems the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?	ij
No □	
6 Arc Pheralary further istues relating to the error of search that Jou think should have black considered as part of the assessment process.	
To see	
CL o'vi	
If you have entrivered, ess, blease see it. No. 10. hanser as a similar. As see	
development at Storminster Newtons' Plagse set out what vou see is the held danding from a consents.	
Stallaritie	
8. Do you party with the conclusionary, ading the areas of search identified at \$1 thir figs?	ś.
We □	
S. Are there any jurther issues relating to the areas of search that you think should said peed considered at part of the assessment process?	2.5
Yes III	
If you have measured "yes please set out what you see as the further names.	

20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?				
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.				
The Villages				
21. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consi alternative approach?				
Yes				
No 🗆				
If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning this.	z behind			
Affordable Housing				
22. Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evide need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?				
Yes □ No ☑				
23. Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sit forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?	es coming			
Yes □ No ☑				
24. Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, whi for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?	ch allows			
Yes v No □				

What are the most inportant infrastructure is future development is Stalbridge?	ents that are likely to result from potential
Pjease set a je whet jou sec as the additional inf	spiementhe a
Zepski/ vdl	
Do you egree with the Courrel's proposed appaighteen larger villagus within the District or dallering live approach?	relation to ruture development at the ex that the Council should consider an
D ok	
Selence - Pousing	
Afronduble Fousing Do you consume that the existence reference to removed from the policy to allow larger sum need to excess of that will touted be mer by	ame force there is evidence of loca
Do you consider that the existing reference to removed from the policy to allow larger sub-	ame force there is evidence of loca
Do you consider that the existme reference to removed from the policy to allow larger sub-rided to excess of that will. I could be nier by Yes No J	ome forwird where there is evidence of localision of mile dwellings?
Do you consider that the existent reference to removed from the policy to allow larger sure need in excess of that will all could be met by Yes.	ome forwird where there is evidence of localision of mise dwellings? (c) as aks to prevent exception sites corping
Do you consider that the existent reference to removed from the policy to allow larger substituted in excess of that what could be men by Yes No Oo you consider that the existing policy apported adjacent to the love main town, with Yes Consider that the existing policy apported adjacent to the love main town, with	ome forwird where there is evidence of localision of mise dwellings? (c) as aks to prevent exception sites corping
Do you consider that the existme reference to removed from the policy to allow larger substituted in excess of their wild. Could be nier by Yes. [2] No. [3] No. [3] Yes. [4] Yes. [5] Yes. [6] Yes. [7] Yes. [7] Yes. [7] Yes. [7] Yes. [7] No. [7] No. [7] No. [7]	ome forwind where there is evidence of localision of mile dwellings? Ich is aks to prevent exception sites coming a ring, should be amended?
Do you consider that the existent reference to removed from the policy to allow larger substituted in excess of that what could be met by Yes. Cl. No. 3 Do you consider that the existing policy expense forward adjacent to the low main town, with Yes. Cl. Yes. Cl. Yes. Cl. Yes. Cl. Yes. Cl.	ome forwind where there is evidence of localision of mile dwellings? Ich is aks to prevent exception sites coming a ring, should be amended?

Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

	or all of the following options?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes \qed No \qed
	 b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes No
	c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes No
	d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes \Box No \Box
	e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$
	f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes □ No □
26	5. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
	Yes □ No □
	If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
	Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres
27.	Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
	Yes i
	No 🗆

Self-Brilld and Custom-Build Rousing

or affor the following purions?
a. Allowing I record plots to come torward under the tarrant development plan polici
563
Ma III
5. Upd. ong Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promoting provis
at land for self-apild nowing.
Yes Cl
₩o Li
. Requiring an sites above a contain size that a record refebblid plots arould be made
proportion of the total number of dweldings permitted is lith or without a militarian m
specified) contra
Yes Cl
No. Ci
drop dray) se lic notroes a no colo blind-less to [1703] of our moth sorry's gained la
(subsection of the other
Yes []
a 'desir fynd land in public cwestship whole w doll be selected in self build deselop
034
f. The use of Cere Development Orders to facilitate self-book development.
L 25
resther, any other approache, that could be used to meet the demand for self
[] 29
y for this coefficient, for the confine the sum of properties which the council conjugate for the council conjugate y
marring the Vitalia and Visbilly of Lown Centres
on you consider that understating hierarchy and network of centres as set out in
ar graded to include Stallyauge as a floral centre?
e se externo unidore do desta provincio properto tra pisto telá a tr

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)

or legislation, should be deleted?

Yes
No 📈
The A350 Corridor
Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?
Yes 🗹
No 🗆
Comments
If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.
It is vital that the plans for a road which will help to save small vilages like Melbrory Abbas should not be lost. It is totally pointers building houses if there are inadequate roads.

28. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies

V 10 1 1 2 1 1 1					
1-10-11-11	79 30 75 75 15	State These and S. C.	Sec. 18 19 19 19 19	25 8 12	milyeer
	200	A STATE OF THE STA		45-48 II	2 CA1 1 2 CA 2 CA 2 CA

ich are protected from development by other planning policies	is. Do you agree that those sDWASIWhi
	or teristacion, shaulo en dulated?

J ald

The ASSO Comidan

29 Do you consider that the had unlith a identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbure Durch bypess and the Charnon Marshall and Spetisbury Bypess should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?

Yes LI

I ov

Comments

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the 50 tail ability. Appraised please set useful out in the box below it your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document than please state until unestion or chapter your comments relate to.

The second secon		W Development of the Control of the	

1	
1	
1	
1	
- 1	
1	
1	
1	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
	Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review?
	Yes □
	No 🗆
	Signature:
	If submitting ature is required.
	, The state of the

When completed please send form to $\underline{planningpolicy@north\text{-}dorset.gov.uk}$

0

n				
				1
				Rati
	s			
KINSENDER I NERVO HOWELL BUILD NUMBER				
Swedness and Jean Lord or polytical	www.beem.com.com	and the control to the same		
releting to the Local Plan Review?	T STUDENCE STELL W	BLOCK OF STREET	6.RPDD DG DD NEV	
Dane:				
1980	parint, i a princ	tach alloy ac sign	And the state of the state of	Saest my ^{le} Virminer
		and an artist of the second		sectore ABIT & B
		1 F. 17 PM	e sabala manga	BED IN IVA